

NHamp
352.07
L48
1985

Annual Reports

of

THE TOWN OF

L E E

NEW HAMPSHIRE



For The Year Ending

June 30th, 1985

TOWN SERVICES

Selectmen's Office, Town Hall, Lee Hill. Office hours: Mon., Tues., Wed., and Fri. 9 am to 12 noon and 1 pm to 5 pm. Selectmen meet every Monday at 7:30 pm in the Town Hall.
Telephone: 659-5414.

Town Clerk/Tax Collector's Office, Town Hall, Lee Hill. Office hours: Mon., Wed., Fri., 9 am to 12 noon and 1 pm to 5 pm.
Telephone: 659-2964.

Police, Town Hall Annex, Lee Hill. Office hours: Mon. thru Fri. 1 pm to 5 pm. The police handle dog complaints.
Telephones: EMERGENCY NUMBER 868-2400; all other police business: 659-5866.

Fire Department: Fire Station, Mast Road. Permits required for all outdoor fires. For permit call Fire Warden Michael Main 659-3982 or Deputy Fire Warden Roger Comeau 659-3998.
Telephone: EMERGENCY NUMBER 868-2400.

Ambulance Service: The McGregor Memorial Ambulance Service.
Telephone: EMERGENCY NUMBER 868-2400.

Building Inspector/Health Officer: Gerald Preston, Fox Garrison Road. Office hours: Mon., Wed., Thurs. 6 pm to 9 pm and Saturday 9 am to 3 pm.
Telephone 659-5130.

Highway Department, behind the Fire Station, Mast Road.
Randolph Stevens, Road Agent
Telephone: 659-6515

Planning Board, Town Hall, Lee Hill. Office hours: Tues., Wed., and Fri. 9 am to 12 noon.
Telephone: 659-5414.

Zoning Board of Adjustment, Town Hall, Lee Hill. Office hours: Tues., Wed., and Fri. 9 am to 12 noon.
Telephone: 659-5414.

Lee Library, Lee Hill. Hours of operation: Tues. & Wed. 6 pm to 8 pm; Mon. & Thurs., 2 pm to 5 pm; Sat. 10 am to 12 noon and 2 pm to 5 pm. Closed on Fri. and Sun.
Telephone: 659-2626.

ANNUAL REPORTS

of the

Town of

LEE

NEW HAMPSHIRE

For The Fiscal Year Ending

DECEMBER 31, 1985

Printed and Bound By
CGC/ Center for
Graphic Communication
Rye, NH
1986

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
In Memoriam	5
Town Officers	6
Minutes of Town Meeting—1985	9
Minutes of Special Town Meeting—May 1985	37
Minutes of Special Town Meeting—October 1985	40
Schedule of Town Property	44
Summary of Inventory	45
Auditor's Certificate	45
Selectmen's Report of Expenditures	46
Comparative Statement of Approp. & Expenditures	54
Summary of Payments	56
Report of the Treasurer	57
Report of the Tax Collector	59
Interim Report of Tax Collector	61
Financial Report	64
Report of Revenue Sharing	70
Report of the Town Clerk	71
Report of Lee Library	72
1986 Town Warrant	Green Insert 1
1986 Town Budget	Green Insert 7
Article II-Proposed Amendments to	
Building Regulations	Green Insert 13
Article III-Proposed Amendments to	
the Zoning Ordinance	Green Insert 17
Article IV-Operating Budget	Green Insert 27
Report of Lee Library Fund Drive	73
Report of Lee Library Trustees (Financial)	74
Report of Trustees of Trust Funds	75
Report of Police Department	76
Report of the Planning Board	81
Report of the Lee Zoning Board of Adjustment	83
Report of Lee Conservation Commission	84
Report of Lee Conservation Commission Financial	85
Report of the Lamprey Regional Solid Waste Cooperative	86
Report of Durham Ambulance Corps	88
Report of the Building Inspector	91
Report of the Health Officer	92
Report of Lee Recreation Commission	93
Report of the Strafford Regional Planning Commission	94
Report of the Newmarket Regional Health Center	95
Report of the Squamscott Home Health Association	97
Report of the Squamscott Home Health Association Financial	100
Vital Statistics	101
1985 Property Valuations	109

In Memoriam

JOSEPH B. MORIARTY
July 13, 1916 - April 26, 1985

RUTH BIXBY HOWARTH
June 15, 1921 - May 5, 1985

HANNAH S. CHADBOURN
July 19, 1916 - May 15, 1985

ELMER KENNETH FOGG
August 3, 1902 - June 15, 1985

TOWN OFFICERS

MODERATOR

Dale T. Swanson, 1986

BOARD OF SELECTMEN

Wallace E. Dennis	1986
Joseph P. Ford	1987
Shirley M. Clark, Chairman	1988

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

Alice M. MacKinnon

TREASURER

Faye E. Keniston	1986
------------------------	------

TOWN CLERK - TAX COLLECTOR

Penelope A. Stetson	1987
---------------------------	------

DEPUTY TOWN CLERK - TAX COLLECTOR

Donna F. Eisenhard

SUPERVISORS OF THE CHECKLIST

Hazel Walden	1986
Joan Seeley	1988
Natalie Allan	1990

TRUSTEES OF THE TRUST FUNDS

Renata Dodge, Chairman	1987
Cynthia M. Taylor	1988

LIBRARY TRUSTEES

Pamela Bishop	1986
Catherine R. Swanson, Chairman	1987
Barbara McNamee	1988

LIBRARIAN

Helen MacDonald

ASSISTANT LIBRARIAN

Jacqueline R. Steffen

ROAD AGENT

Randolph Stevens

AUDITORS

Diane Spires	1986
Beryle Banks	1987

BUILDING INSPECTOR & HEALTH OFFICER

Gerald Preston

STRAFFORD REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Aaron Chadbourn

Alice MacKinnon

PLANNING BOARD

James Miller, Chairman

Gus Zaso, Secretary

Shirley Clark, Selectman, ex-officio

John Damon

Robert Moynihan

Gil Priestley

John McLean

PLANNING ASSISTANT

Peggy Rochette

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

David Allan, Chairman

L. David Meeker

Jeannette Roberts

Richard Weyrick

Eileen Miller

George Webb

ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

W. Scott Gibb, Chairman

Dale T. Swanson, Clerk

Sally Barney

Ralph Booth

Robert Simpson

Paul Bishop, alternate

James Lietz, alternate

Natalie Allan, alternate

ADVISORY BUDGET COMMITTEE

John Wheeler, Chairman	1986
Aaron Chadbourn	1987
Terrence Spires	1988
Richard Wellington	1988
Lloyd Stevens	1986
David Minor	1986
Joseph P. Ford, Selectman, ex-officio	1987

RECREATION COMMISSION

Donna Bucar, Chairman

Raymond Marshall	Richard Dipentima
Gerald Stefanski	Robert Henry

POLICE DEPARTMENT

Brian Burke, Chief

Edward Levesque, Corporal

Richard Doucette, Corporal

Stephen Johnson, Inspector

James Gardner	Robert Rice
Steven Irving	Paul Thompson
Randy Keravich	Susan Whitford
Karen Long	

FIRE DEPARTMENT

G. Michael Main, Chief

Roger Comeau, Captain

Richard Wellington, Captain

Richard Doucette	David Minor
W. Scott Gibb	David Pevear
Paul Hatch	George Pevear
Warren Hatch	Philip Sanborn, Jr.
Diane Kelsey	Rick Smith
Oliver Kennard	Paul St. Pierre
Jack LaRoche	Lloyd Stevens
Richard Stevens	

LAMPREY RIVER SOLID WASTE COOPERATIVE

Joseph P. Ford

MINUTES OF TOWN MEETING

— 1985 —

The 1985 Town Meeting for the Town of Lee, New Hampshire was called to order at 8:00 a.m., Tuesday, March 12, 1985 at the Lee Town Hall by the Town Moderator, Dale Swanson.

Sworn in as Deputy Moderator was Suzanne DiRocchi. Sworn in as ballot clerks were: Claire Webb, David Allan, Euna Keniston, and Ernest Langlois.

Also present were the supervisors of the checklist: Natalie Allan, Hazel Walden and Joan Seeley; the Board of Selectmen: Shirley Clark, Joseph Ford and Wallace Dennis.

Articles I and II of the 1985 Town Meeting Warrant were moved by Shirley Clark and seconded by Joseph Ford. These articles were voted on by Ballot.

ARTICLE I: To choose all necessary Town officers for the ensuing year.

For Selectman:

Aaron W. Chadbourn	168
Shirley M. Clark	219

For Trustee of the Trust Funds:

Cynthia M. Taylor	348
-------------------------	-----

For Library Trustee:

Barbara McNamee	340
-----------------------	-----

For Advisory Budget Committee for three years:

Terrance T. Spires	261
Richard H. Wellington	295

For Auditor:

Beryle S. Banks	325
-----------------------	-----

ARTICLE II: To see if the Town will vote the changes in the Zoning Ordinance pertaining to the expansion of the commercial zone as proposed by the petition of Ivan Fogarty and at least twenty-five (25) other registered voters and pertaining to definitions, sanitary protection, shoreland conservation district, the recreational zone, earth removal regulations, accessory use, signs, land requirements, in the commercial zone and the net developable area for cluster subdivisions and condominium developments as proposed by the Lee Planning Board.

Amendment #1: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1 as proposed by resident petition of Ivan Fogarty and at least twenty-five other registered voters to the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows: (The Planning Board does not recommend this amendment.)

Amending Article I such that Zone C, the Commercial Zone, would be extended to encompass the area on Route 125 running South of Route 4 to the Lee/Epping Town Line and extending seven hundred (700) feet to the east and west of the Route 125 right of way?

Yes, 166

No, 218

Amendment #2: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #2 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article II by deletion of the current definitions for Accessory Building and Accessory Use and substituting the following:

Accessory Building. Any building attached or unattached to the main residential building in which a permitted accessory use may exist.

Accessory Use. A use which is clearly incidental to the principal use of the premises and which is conducted in the same residential structure or on the same residential lot?

Yes, 264

No, 84

Amendment #3: Are you in favor of Amendment #3 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article II by deletion of the current definition for Lot of Record and substituting the following:

Lot of Record. A distinct tract of land recorded in a legal deed and filed in the records of Strafford County, New Hampshire?

Yes, 286

No, 67

Amendment #4: Are you in favor of Amendment #4 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article II by deletion of the current definition for modular home and substituting the following:

Modular Home. Factory built, transportable residential unit in one or more sections which does not contain a permanent chassis and is designed to be placed on a permanent foundation?

Yes, 297

No, 65

Amendment #5: Are you in favor of Amendment #5 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article II by deletion of the current definition for Variance (Dimensional) and Variance (Use) and substituting the following:

Variance. A deviation from the terms of the ordinance, not otherwise permitted which may be granted by the Zoning Board of Adjustment pursuant to its discretionary powers, where the Board finds that the granting of such variance will do substantial justice and the intent of the ordinance will still be observed. The Board of Adjustment may in such cases grant a variance only where such literal enforcement would result in unnecessary hardship to the applicant. In order to support such a variance it must be found:

- (1) That no diminution in value of surrounding properties will occur;
- (2) That its denial would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking it;
- (3) That special conditions exist which distinguish the property from other similarly restricted property in the area and create such unnecessary hardship;
- (4) That by granting the variance substantial justice would be done;
- (5) That the change will not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the Ordinance.

A variance for nonresidential use is subject to site review by the Planning Board?

Yes, 267

No, 76

Amendment #6: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #6 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article III Section E(3) by adding the following:

Any subsurface waste water disposal system which is not expressly prohibited within the Town of Lee by Ordinance may be considered acceptable if such system meets all applicable State requirements?

Yes, 291

No, 64

Amendment #7: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #7 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article III by the addition of Section E(4) as follows:

A well may not be installed less than seventy-five (75) feet from an existing or proposed septic system leach bed or closer than forty (40) feet from the property line of an undeveloped lot?

Amendment #8: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #8 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning

Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article III Section I. such that the Shoreland Conservation District is expanded to include the shores of Dube Brook, Chesley Brook, and Wheelwright Pond?

Yes, 261

No, 85

Amendment #9: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #9 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article III Section I, such that within the Shoreland Conservation District, the following are expressly prohibited in addition to dwelling units: structures other than pump houses, roads, waste water disposal systems, excavation and filling, boat ramps, or swimming areas in excess of twenty-five feet of frontage per property owner, and clearing and cutting without a plan approved by the Planning Board. The following uses are expressly permitted: wells, unpaved footpaths, dry hydrants where necessary?

Yes, 248

No, 103

Amendment #10: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #10 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article IV Section B(1) to require that any lot within the residential zone which does not meet minimum size and frontage requirements and is contiguous to another lot in the same ownership be combined with the contiguous lot prior to development?

Yes, 256

No, 93

Amendment #11: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #11 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article IV Section B(3) such that part-time residences which are to be converted to year-round homes shall be on lots

which conform to size, frontage and soil requirements of the Town of Lee?

Yes, 267

No, 92

Amendment #12: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #12 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article IV Section C to read as follows:

Accessory Use. A resident may use his domicile for customary uses such as consultant, engineer, architect, lawyer, real estate or other recognized professions or home occupations such as . . . ?

Yes, 298

No, 57

Amendment #13: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #13 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Deleting Article V and all reference throughout the Ordinance to the Recreational Zone? (Subsequent articles would be renumbered.)

Yes, 288

No, 89

Amendment #14: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #14 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article VI to require a minimum lot size within the Commercial Zone of 85,000 square feet with a minimum road frontage of 250 feet?

Yes, 242

No, 99

Amendment #15: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #15 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article VI Section B2 to increase the minimum setback

requirements from side and rear property lines for Commercial structures to one hundred (100) feet?

Yes, 250

No, 96

Amendment #16: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #16 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article VI by the addition of Section C which would provide for the waiving of side setback requirements within the Commercial Zone to encourage abutting commercial structures to share one highway access?

Yes, 268

No, 74

Amendment #17: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #17 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending the Ordinance by the deletion of Article III Section F and substituting an Article exclusively pertaining to the control of the erection, installation and maintenance of signs within the Town of Lee.

Yes, 233

No, 96

Amendment #18: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #18 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article IX pertaining to Earth Removal Regulations by adding the requirement that all trucks carrying excavation material must be covered?

Yes, 285

No, 62

Amendment #19: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #19 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article IX pertaining to Earth Removal Regulations

by the Addition of the following:

Hours of operation will be restricted so as not to present a public nuisance.

Yes, 266

No, 81

Amendment #20: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #20 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Articles XII and XIII pertaining to condominium and Cluster Development such that the definition and all reference to "net developable area" be deleted?

Yes, 233

No, 92

The polls were closed and the town meeting was recessed at 7:00 p.m. on Tuesday, March 12, 1985.

The Town Meeting for the Town of Lee, New Hampshire for the year 1985 was reconvened at 7:30 p.m. at the Mast Way School for the purpose of acting upon Articles III through XXII of the 1985 Town Meeting Warrant. The meeting was called to order by the Town Moderator, Dale Swanson following some brief announcements, some procedural comments and the tally of votes from the previous day's election.

The moderator noted that a challenge had been presented to him concerning the proper posting and warranting of the Zoning and Referendum Ballot. He directed the resident to prepare a proper motion for rehearing as set forth in state statutes.

ARTICLE III: To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate such sums of money as may be necessary to defray the Town charges for the ensuing fiscal year for general government, including town officers' salaries, town officers' expenses, election and registration, town buildings expenses, employees' retirement and social security, building inspector's salary and fees, civil defense, insurance, police department, fire department, conservation commission, planning and zoning, legal expenses, health officer's salary, transfer station, town highway department, pub-

lic library, public welfare of town poor and old age assistance, Memorial Day and Old Home Day, cemeteries and abandoned cemeteries, dog care, debt service for interest on temporary loans, bulk gas, special duty, Lamprey Regional Solid Waste Cooperative, Town Reports, recreation, appraisal of property, and contingency fund.

Article III was moved by Selectman Ford and seconded by Selectman Dennis. Mr. Ford moved that the town vote to raise and appropriate the sum of \$466,465 for the town's operating budget for the fiscal year 1985-86 with such sums to be apportioned as follows:

Town Officers' Salaries	\$ 30,700
Town Officers' Expenses	36,300
Election & Registration	6,100
Town Hall & Other Buildings	10,900
Retirement & Social Security	14,700
Insurance	21,000
Property Appraisal	7,000
Legal Expenses	12,000
Contingency Fund	4,200
Interest, Short Term Notes	2,000
Police Department	84,600
Fire Department	20,450
Highway Department	109,000
Transfer Station	11,600
Lamprey Regional Solid Waste Disposal	20,026
Building Inspector	2,400
Health Officer	200
Recreation Commission	1,000
OR Youth Association	3,976
Civil Defense	100
Conservation Commission	50
Library	14,913
Town Poor	9,000
Old Age Assistance	6,000
Patriotic Purposes	400
Cemeteries	5,000
Dog Care	1,500
Planning and Zoning	9,350
Bulk Gas	6,000

Special Duty	10,000
Dispatch	<u>6,000</u>
TOTAL	\$466,465

Mr. Ford referred the citizens to the handout which had been distributed and to page 23 of the Town Report Insert. Several of the major increases were noted as shown on the Comparative Budget Analysis handout and explained. Some specific items which were discussed were:

The Town Officers' Salaries reflects an increase in the salary of the Treasurer from \$3000 to \$3600; the salary of the Town Clerk/-Tax Collector from \$14,500 to \$16,000 and the Deputy Town Clerk/Tax Collector from \$5.50 per hour to \$6.00 per hour. These increases reflect an increase in the work volume and the competency of the officers. The salary of the auditors will be raised from \$400 to \$600.

In Town Officers' Expenses, the major increase is a change in the salary of the Administrative Assistant from \$18,000 to \$21,000. This reflects the competency of the employee and an effort to make this position competitive in the field. Increases also exist for postage, office supplies and equipment and telephone.

There is no increase in Election and Registration. The modest increase in Town Hall reflects fuel costs. Insurance costs are reasonably stable as are legal expenses.

Property Appraisal increases because of the increased amount of building activity.

There is a 20 per cent increase in the fire department, reflecting a request for some new equipment. The 21 per cent increase in the highway department is necessary to keep up with the proper maintenance of the roads, many of which need some work. The Police Department figure as shown at \$84,600 reflects staying with the present structure within the department although alternative proposals have been presented.

Alternative proposals have also been presented for the highway department due to the fact that the current road agent indicated a desire to leave the position. A full-time position is

currently being discussed.

For the Lamprey Regional Solid Waste Disposal, the increase has occurred due to increased tonnage; there is no increase in tipping fee.

The Contingency Fund is based upon 1% of the previous year's operating budget.

Oyster River Youth Association is based upon a formula, Lee's share of the total is 22%.

The increase in the Library Expense reflects the costs of books and proposed plan to maintain longer library hours.

Planning and Zonng increase reflect the amount of work hours due to the rapid growth of the town. Postage and supplies have also increased. Expenses have also been incurred for training seminars for the Planning Board members.

Special Duty and Bulk Gas are in/out items which have no effect on the property tax.

Mr. Ford noted that the total budget increase is 11% over that of last year. Most of the increase is due to inflation and the growth of the Town of Lee.

Mr. Ford then referred the voters to the second page of the analysis containing special warrant items, the total of which is \$49,388, a decrease from last year. The total budget increase would therefore amount to 9%.

Mr. Ford explained that the county budget increase is 18.7% or a total amount of \$165,349. The amount which Lee is to contribute to the Oyster River School District is up 20% or \$1,265,344. These amounts all come out of property tax; although 70 per cent of the town operating budget is paid from nonproperty tax revenues such as the motor vehicle fees. Mr. Ford estimated that the total amount to be raised in property taxes would be \$1,583,497.

The total valuation of the Town of Lee in 1984 was 48 million; in 1985 it is estimated to be 50-52 million. The tax rate with the

budget as proposed would be around \$31.00 per thousand; up from \$26.95.

Mr. Ford estimated that 80% of the property tax collected would go to the schools; 10½% to the county and 9½ per cent to the town. He estimated that it costs approximately \$3000 to send one child to school for one year.

John Wheeler, Chairman of the Advisory Budget Committee stated that the budget committee had raised two major questions with regards to the budget as proposed. These were (1) salary increases and the lack of a standard personnel policy and job descriptions; and (2) the fire department budget should be lowered by \$1000. The budget committee also recommended that the police department should decrease the use of part-time or specials and hire two additional full time policemen as presented in Plan III set forth in the Town Report.

Much discussion followed concerning the lack of personnel policy; all three of the selectmen agreed that this could and should be done but emphasized that the Town only had two full-time employees. It was pointed out that the salary of the Administrative Assistant is well within the guidelines set forth in the survey of Job and Wage which had been done by the N.H. Municipal Association.

An amendment was made by Lorraine St. Jean and seconded by Dan St. Jean to hold all salaries and wage increases to a maximum of 5%.

Mr. Dennis spoke in opposition to the amendment; stating the importance of recognizing merit and retaining qualified personnel. Mrs. Clark supported this position, pointing out that a chronic problem in small towns is low wages which causes rapid employee turnover.

Chief Burke opposed the amendment, stating that some of the salary increases in the budget were to correct disparity within the town and this amendment would prohibit doing that.

The amendment was defeated.

Mr. Barney questioned several items: (1) He asked for an explanation of the overbudgeted figure for salaries on page 37. (2) He questioned the sufficiency of the \$1500 appropriated for dog care and cited several instances of dog problems. (3) He urged resolution to the road agent issue, recognizing the important fact that Randy Stevens owns road equipment which is a great savings to the Town.

Mrs. Clark discussed the road agent situation at length explaining the current road agent does not like the administrative aspect of the position. He has difficulty in hiring help to work such abbreviated shifts. She admitted that the selectmen are trying to work out some arrangement with Mr. Stevens; the other option is hiring a part-time administrator with some engineering background. Also discussed was funding the position for full-time.

Mrs. St. Jean asked for an explanation again of the overbudgeted salaries. Mr. Ford explained that this is not a line item budget. The overage occurred when the position of the Deputy Town Clerk/Tax Collector changed hands, causing a period of time when the new employee was trained.

Richard DiPentima questioned line item budgeting. It was explained by Mr. Ford that the Towns of New Hampshire do not operate on line item budget but that overexpenditure of the total budget appropriation would require returning to the town to ask more money.

Mr. DiPentima also asked the total amount in the Capital Reserve for Highway Equipment. Mr. Ford informed him there was \$43,000.

An amendment was presented by Cathy Swanson to increase the budget by \$32,400 to include a way to adopt Plan III as set forth in the town report to hire two full-time men for the police department. This amendment was seconded by Mrs. Clark.

Chief Burke explained in detail the three plans for police protection which had been set forth in the town report. The reason for the plans is the increase in the number of calls generated for police services.

Plan III would employ two additional full-time officers; Plan II would employ one additional full-time officer and supplement with specials; Plan I would retain the current structure of one full-time officer and specials with increased patrol coverage.

The advantage of part-time specials is that no benefit package is necessary, therefore it is less expensive. The disadvantages are the lack of training, continuity of service and commitment. Full-time personnel require extensive training which is mandated by the state but they would also require a retirement and insurance package.

Mrs. St. Jean referred to the Town Meeting of 1972, when an article on the warrant asking for a full-time police department was defeated by the voters. She asked for an explanation of this situation.

Mr. Swanson read from the minutes of the 1972 Town Meeting pertaining to this matter. A large increase in the police budget had been voted; the creation of the position of full-time police chief is within the statutory authority of the selectmen.

Mrs. Clark stated that the point of view of the Board of Selectmen with regards to the police department budget was as follows: Plan III is the most cost effective program because it represents a relatively small percentage increase from Plan II for a full-time department. She pointed out that it is difficult to get good part-time officers and that turnover is high. The selectmen recognized the other large budgetary increases and felt that the voters should decide how much coverage is necessary and how it should be funded.

Mr. Dennis added that it is also important that the officer live within a close proximity to the Town of Lee.

Stephen Panish asked the comparison of Lee's per capita expenditures for the police department to other area towns.

Chief Burke responded that this is a difficult comparison due to different configurations of various towns—for example, downtown sections, bedroom communities, assessed valuation, walking beat, etc.

Mr. Panish noted that the Town of Nottingham relies heavily on support from State Police. He suggested that dogs be disposed of in a more economical fashion.

Chief Burke noted that he had inherited the dog problem, that a dog officer could be hired. He recognized this was a burden on the police department. He also noted that the State Police are also understaffed.

Sara Gilsdorf asked which proposal the selectmen would support.

Mr. Dennis responded that the selectmen had agreed to recommend Plan II for economic reasons but that they felt Plan III to be the most cost effective.

The question was moved. The amendment was defeated by a vote of 60 to 86.

Diane Spires then returned to the issue of the town officers salaries and asked for an explanation of hours worked for several positions and wages per hour. Her questions were answered by Mrs. Clark. Mrs. Spires suggested that the selectmen provide job descriptions, and noted that the salary increases appeared to be arbitrary.

Mrs. Clark felt that job descriptions were meaningless, since many of the positions were established by statute. She added that of primary importance is retaining and attracting good employees.

John Wheeler agreed with Mrs. Spires. Rich DiPentima suggested that this issue be discussed under Article 22.

Mr. Dennis stated opposition to "across the board raises."

Cathy Swanson moved to amend Article III to increase the budget by the sum of \$19,900 which would be applied to the police department budget for the purpose of hiring one full-time person and specials. This was seconded by Mrs. Spires.

Dan St. Jean asked if there would be additional hidden costs.

Chief Burke responded that this figure would include the benefit package including insurance, FICA, and retirement.

Robert Hart asked if the increase has taken into consideration estimated costs for mileage on the vehicles, and gasoline. Chief Burke responded that gas, oil, tires and maintenance had been included. With two full-time policemen automobile changeover would probably increase to every 18 months. Burke estimates with one additional full-time man, there would not be a more rapid turnover. Automobile turnover is not included in the budgeted figures.

Mr. Dennis noted that the residents from Steppingstone Road had been particularly vocal in recent months concerning the need for more police coverage.

Maurice Hatch, a member of Durham Dispatch, argued that the need in the police department did not seem to be for more call out time, or more patrol time, but that it should be devoted to more investigative or prosecution work as well as more secretarial coverage of the office. He stated that he did not feel that this was a good year economically to make this major increase in the police department. He also felt that the police department spent too much time devoted to dog calls.

The question was then moved. The amendment was adopted.

Mrs. St. Jean moved to amend Article III to reduce the total budget by the amount necessary to hold all salary and wage increases to 6%. This was seconded by Mr. St. Jean. Mrs. St. Jean stated that she felt that the entire salary structure of the town was out of line and that merit raises were given repeatedly to the same individuals.

Richard Weyrick urged voters to defeat this amendment and to devote time to other more important issues.

The motion was defeated.

Article III was adopted.

ARTICLE IV: To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for repairs and improvements to the town buildings and attendant grounds.

This article was moved by Mrs. Clark and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mrs. Clark explained that \$2000 would be used for the library ramp and landscaping and the other \$3000 for continued repairs to the Town Buildings and outdoor lighting.

Ben Gooch stated that he had understood that the ramp for the library was included in the original bid for construction on the addition and that he had included this in his bid.

Article IV was adopted.

ARTICLE V: To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of four thousand, five hundred dollars (\$4,500) for the purchase of a plow and wing for the highway department.

This article was moved by Mrs. Clark and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mrs. Clark noted that this had been requested by the road agent. Mr. Dennis added that a later request would designate \$5,500 for the Capital Reserve Funds for highway equipment as compared to the usual \$10,000.

Mr. Michaels asked if this equipment would fit an existing truck. Mrs. Clark responded that she assumed that it would.

Daniel St. Jean noted that the town now owned two plows; he asked why this was needed. Mr. Stevens responded that the current equipment is outdated but still usable.

Dwight Barney commented that the selectmen should be made to be more accountable for the way the money which is appropriated should be spent.

Mr. St. Jean asked if the money was appropriated, need it be

spent. The moderator responded that it did have to be spent as appropriated.

The article was adopted.

ARTICLE VI: To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of five thousand, five hundred (\$5,500) to be deposited with the trustees of the trust funds as capital reserve for highway equipment.

This article was moved by Mr. Dennis and seconded by Mel Jenkins.

Mr. Dennis noted that the money in the reserve funds was invested in CD's and draws interest and urged voters to continue this sound future financial planning.

Richard Fleming spoke in favor of this motion and urged that the selectmen thoroughly look into the issue of the town road agent.

Article VI was adopted.

ARTICLE VII: To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of ten thousand (\$10,000) to be deposited with the Trustees of the Trust Funds as Capital Reserve for Fire Equipment.

This article was moved by Mr. Dennis and seconded by Mrs. Clark.

Mr. Dennis spoke in favor of this motion, reminded the voters that new fire equipment appears to be necessary every 10 - 14 years both to meet the growing needs of the town and the needs of the insurance carriers.

This article was adopted.

ARTICLE VIII: To see if the town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of one thousand, five hundred dollars (\$1,500) for the Lee Conservation Commission.

This article was moved by Mr. Ford and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mr. Ford explained that this money goes into a land acquisition fund.

Mrs. St. Jean spoke in opposition to this article stating that this was the only town agency with the right to purchase land without coming before the town.

David Allan, Chairman of the Conservation Commission, stated that they had attempted to purchase 21 acres of the last open space on Wheelwright Pond this year but had been unable to do so. He noted that it was difficult to find properties available. Mr. Allan also noted that a group of young people in town should be commended for hours donated in conservation work this last year.

Mr. Ford added that state statute grants the Conservation Commission this authority to purchase land.

Article VIII was adopted.

ARTICLE IX: To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) for the Strafford County Community Action Program.

This article was moved by Mr. Ford and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mr. Ford explained that this amount was appropriated last year. This program administers federal funds for fuel assistance and weatherization programs and helps to distribute surplus foods to the needy; it also operates head start. Lee people are served by this program.

There were no comments on this article. Article IX was adopted.

ARTICLE X: To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of six hundred dollars (\$600.00) for the Newmarket Health Center

This article was moved by Mr. Ford and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mr. Ford explained that this was the amount appropriated last year. This program is a voluntary non-profit organization which provides out-patient clinic services, prenatal services, screening clinics and transportation services for the elderly and handicapped.

There were no comments on this article. Article X was adopted.

ARTICLE XI: To see if the town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of two thousand nine hundred fifty dollars (\$2,950) for the Oyster River Home Health Association.

This article was moved by Mr. Ford and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mr. Ford explained that this voluntary non-profit organization provides visiting nurse services, a maternal child health program, dental clinic, well-child clinic and blood pressure screenings. In 1984 21 Lee residents received 393 home visits.

There were no comments on this article. Article XI was adopted.

ARTICLE XII: To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of four thousand, three hundred seventy dollars (\$4,370.00) for the Durham Ambulance Corps.

This article was moved by Mr. Ford and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mr. Ford explained that this amount indicated an increase from last year which was attributable to the increased cost of insurance coverage. Lee's share is based upon the total number of ambulance "runs" to Lee, 14% in 1983.

There were no comments on this article. Article XII was adopted.

ARTICLE XIII: To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of one thousand four hundred sixty-eight dollars

(\$1,468) for the Strafford Regional Planning Commission.

This article was moved by Aaron Chadbourn and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mr. Chadbourn referred voters to page 89 of the Town Report for a summary of the services provided by Strafford Regional Planning. He also noted that at the current rapid growth rate of the area, Regional Planning becomes increasingly important. Several new members have joined the commission this year.

There were no comments on this article. Article XIII was adopted.

ARTICLE XIV: To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for water resources monitoring and protection and for aquifer mapping.

This article was moved by Mrs. Clark and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mrs. Clark explained that the Durham well action had motivated this article. The money would be used to begin to identify water sources and monitor potential pollution sources.

Mrs. St. Jean asked if the maps that would be obtained from this project would be better than those done previously by the Army Corps of Engineers, exactly what would the town get for \$10,000.

Mrs. Clark responded that the aquifer maps would be much more extensive; a hydrologist would be employed to do them.

Richard DiPentima stressed his concern for pollution from underground gas tanks and noted that Lee Hill with the store and the police tank was also a potential source. He hoped that some of these funds would be designated to draft ordinances to protect underground water sources, once identified.

Mrs. Clark responded that a major purpose in obtaining the information would be so that proper aquifer ordinances could be drawn up.

David Allan spoke briefly on the Water Resources Action Committee, of which he is the chairman. He noted that this committee was studying all water resources in the area in the interest of future protection. Assistance to this committee was being offered by state water pollution people and water resources experts.

Mr. Dennis noted that the original maps done by the Army Corps of Engineers have not proven to be accurate.

Newell Whitford asked who would be employed to do this study and if the findings would be made public.

Mrs. Clark responded that a private consultant would be employed. All maps would be public information.

Paul Bishop informed the voters that the Town of Salem is currently in the process of conducting this type of study, using some university students and a private firm. The study usually comes up with a set of maps which delineate the water. Mr. Bishop noted that he was also concerned about the landfill area in Lee.

Ken Hill felt that \$10,000 was not sufficient funding for this project. Mr. Ford responded that an estimate had been received from BCI in Laconia, for approximately \$5,000 to map the second largest aquifer, that being in Lee Hill. Mr. Dennis and Mr. Ford noted that this money would be a beginning.

Article XIV was adopted.

ARTICLE XV: To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Board of Selectmen to act as Trustees or Agents of the Town to receive from the Trustees of the Trust Funds, pursuant to RSA 35:3 and 15, the sum of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) and to expend such sums for the planning for an alternative septage site and for planning for the landfill area of the recycling center, such expenditures to be taken from the capital reserve fund established to defray the costs of complying with state requirements banning open dump burning pursuant to RSA 125:78.

Article XV was moved by Mr. Ford and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mr. Ford explained that septage waste is currently being deposited in open pit lagoons which are close to wetland areas. The lagoons have been barely passing the periodic state inspection and the selectmen have been looking into alternatives to the lagoons. The three possible alternatives are: (1) A better area for lagoons; (2) A joint venture with the Town of Nottingham; or (3) depositing the Lee waste into the Durham waste treatment plant. The money would be used to defray any costs incurred in exploring these alternatives.

David Allan spoke in favor of this article. He stated that the current situation was a hazard. The banks are pushing into the Wetland areas; cans are being pushed over the banks; the bank itself is a steep drop and is a hazard; the area is heavily rat infested.

Mr. Dennis also noted that the town resources were overtaxed and a solution is imperative. Mrs. Clark added that cans are not being recycled and should be included in the regular trash.

Mrs. St. Jean asked if glass was being recycled. Mr. Ford responded that we were and that income was derived from this.

Paul Bishop, as a member of the New Hampshire Solid Waste Management Board noted that the Town of Lee is currently under investigation for closing out the facility because it is in such bad shape. He noted that he was also a member of the Durham Waste Water Board and although the cost for Lee to use the Durham facility would be high, it would probably be worthwhile pursuing.

Mrs. Spires asked if \$3000 would be sufficient funds. Mr. Ford explained that this money would not be used to purchase land, only to continue the study.

John Grady asked who was currently using the Lee facility. Mrs. Clark responded that all residents would use the site unless the trucker took the waste to another town. Mr. Dennis noted that we do have a permit system which permits only residents to use the Lee facility.

Article XV was adopted.

ARTICLE XVI: To see if the Town will vote to appropriate and authorize the withdrawal from the Revenue Sharing Fund established under the provisions of the State and Local Assistance Act of 1972 for use as setoffs against appropriations for the following specific purposes and amounts indicated herewith or take any other action thereon.

Appropriation	Amount
Water Resources Protection and mapping	\$10,000.00
<u>Transfer Station Operation</u>	5,664.18
Total	\$15,664.18

Article XVI was moved by Mrs. Clark and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mrs. Clark explained that this is where the aquifer mapping funds would be obtained. The remainder of the funds would be used to continue operation of the existing transfer station.

Mr. DiPentima asked how these items would be addressed should the Revenue Sharing funds be discontinued. Mrs. Clark responded that we had received these funds already; and would face the discontinuation of Revenue Sharing funds in future years.

Mr. DiPentima asked if any communication was planned to Washington concerning the proposed elimination of these funds. Mrs. Clark responded that the Municipal Association and every town and other organization has complained.

Article XVI was adopted.

ARTICLE XVII: To see if the Town will vote to designate as a scenic road the entire length of Birch Hill Road (from High Road to the Epping town line) in accordance with the provisions of RSA 231:157 & 158. (By petition of Gus Zaso and at least ten other registered voters).

This article was moved by Mrs. Clark and seconded by Mr. Dennis.

Mrs. Clark explained that the scenic designation means that a

public hearing will have to be held before any improvements such as widening can be done.

Mrs. St. Jean asked if a scenic road could be designated when the road is partially in Epping. Mrs. Clark responded that the designation could go to the town line.

Mrs. St. Jean commented that she was pleased to see that the petition was submitted by the only resident on this road; as she found, living on a scenic road, that the scenic designation to be of questionable merit. She felt that the designation as such indicated a lack of faith in the road agent as the controls were only on the road agent and not on the property owner.

Mr. Stevens, road agent, stated that he did not care whether or not this was designated a scenic road.

John Grady asked for more specific information concerning the scenic designation. Mrs. Clark informed him that the intention was to retain the road in the natural state. Mr. Ford explained that the scenic road bill was originally passed at the request of the Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests and other groups; it acts as a restraint upon the road agent in that a public hearing, with the Planning Board and abutter representation is required.

Article XVII was adopted!

ARTICLE XVIII: To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to transfer tax liens and convey property acquired by the Town by Tax Collector's deeds by public auction or advertised sealed bids or in such other manner as determined by the Selectmen as justice may require.

Article XVIII was moved by Mr. Dennis and seconded by Mrs. Clark.

Mr. Dennis explained that this was a standard article; there is not much land that is acquired for nonpayment of taxes in Lee but this would authorize the selectmen to dispose of it properly.

There were no comments on this article; article XVIII was adopted.

ARTICLE XIX: To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to accept on behalf of the Town monies, gifts, legacies, and investments and services, and to accept any Federal or State funds which may become available during fiscal 1985-86. Further, to see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to make application for said funds and then to expend the same for the purposes designated within applicable Federal or State regulations.

This article was moved by Mr. Dennis and seconded by Mrs. Clark. Mr. Dennis noted that this was a standard article.

There were no comments on this article. Article XIX was adopted.

ARTICLE XX: To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to sell surplus equipment valued at less than three hundred dollars (\$300) at private sale and to sell surplus equipment valued at more than three hundred dollars (\$300) at public auction or by advertised sealed bids; if the property remains unsold, to sell the remaining property through private sale.

This article was moved by Mr. Dennis and seconded by Mrs. Clark.

Mr. Dennis stated that this was a standard article; there had been only one item which was sold for over \$300 which was an old grader sold in auction for \$1000.

There were no comments on this article. Article XX was adopted.

ARTILCE XXI: To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to borrow money in anticipation of taxes.

Article XXI was moved by Mr. Dennis and seconded by Mrs. Clark.

Mr. Dennis commented that it is not anticipated there would be the need to borrow money. Semi-annual billing has created a more even cash flow for the town. This would be included in the budget at \$2000 in case of an emergency . Mr. Dennis also added

that the town had actually earned \$20,000 in interest in the past year.

Article XXI was adopted.

ARTICLE XXII: To transact any other business which may legally come before this meeting.

Richard Fleming moved that the town hire a full-time road agent; someone who could also attend to other maintenance in town.

Mr. Dennis noted that this article could include only recommendations; the moderator agreed that a motion made under this article could not be binding.

Mr. Fleming modified the motion such that it was recommended that the selectmen study the proposal for the hiring of a full time road agent. Mrs. Clark seconded this motion.

The motion was adopted.

Richard DiPentima moved to recommend to the selectmen that they develop job descriptions for all of our town employees that are appointed, outlining duties, responsibilities, and qualifications for the positions.

Mrs. Clark noted that many of the positions are covered by state statute.

Mrs. Spires asked which jobs were covered by statute. Mrs. Clark responded that the only jobs which were not would be that of Administrative Assistant and some part-time positions.

Mrs. Spires noted that it had been mentioned earlier that many of the salaries were based upon merit and asked what limitations there would be on someone new who ran for and was elected to an office. Mrs. Clark responded that this was a major problem with elected positions; the salaries could not be changed.

Mrs. Spires suggested that qualifications, job requirements should be drawn up: Mrs. Clark responded that this could not be

done for elected officials. She recognized this as a major problem.

This motion was adopted.

Chief Burke moved to adjourn. This motion was seconded by Mr. Dennis.

The 1985 Town meeting was adjourned at 10:55 p.m.

On March 20, 1985 a motion for rehearing was submitted to the Board of Selectmen concerning the Zoning Ballot which was presented to the voters on March 12, 1985.

The motion was submitted by the selectmen to Town Counsel and a public hearing on the motion was held at the Lee Town Hall on Tuesday, April 9, 1985.

The result of the public hearing by the Board of Selectmen being that the following amendments were set aside to be returned to the Planning Board for the proper hearing and notice and to be presented again to the Lee Voters: Amendments numbered 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, and 17 will not be enforced by the selectmen.

Penelope A. Stetson
Town Clerk
Lee, New Hampshire

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL TOWN MEETING

MAY 28, 1985

The Special Town Meeting for the Town of Lee, New Hampshire was called to order at 7:30 p.m. on Tuesday, May 28, 1985 at the Mast Way School by the Town Moderator, Dale Swanson.

Present at the meeting were two members of the Board of Selectmen, Shirley Clark and Joseph Ford. The Official Warrant was read by the Moderator. It was noted by the Moderator that this warrant is pursuant to a petition in order at the Strafford County Superior Court which is filed for a special town meeting pursuant to RSA 31:5 dated and authorized by the Superior Court on May 13, 1985. The warrant has been published and posted as required.

ARTICLE I: To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Board of Selectmen to act as Trustees or Agents of the Town to receive from the Trustees of the Trust Funds, pursuant to RSA 35:3 and 15, a sum not to exceed thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) and to expend such sums for the lease/purchase of a new dump truck for the highway department.

Article I was moved by Mrs. Clark and seconded by Mr. Ford.

Mrs. Clark explained that as he had been discussed at the March Town Meeting, Randy Stevens had agreed to become a full time agent for the Town of Lee. This created a need for a new dump truck, Stevens' truck being too old for full time use. After investigation it was found that a Ford truck could be obtained on the State bid for \$46,456. This would include the dump body, the wing and plow with special strong springs and a few other necessary modifications.

Methods of financing had been explored by the Selectmen and it was found that a five year lease purchase agreement from BankEast could be obtained for a percentage rate of 11.88 or a total interest cost of \$10,967.56. A four year lease purchase agreement would be at interest rate of 11.6% or total interest cost of \$9,567. This was at a municipal rate.

Since in either case, substantial interest costs would be incurred, it was agreed that the best method of financing would be as follows:

\$30,000 from the Capital Reserve Fund for highway Equipment

\$15,000 from the surplus highway budget for the 1984-85 year

\$4,500 from the appropriation for the plow and wing in the year 1985-86 budget.

Mrs. Clark explained that the Capital Reserve Fund for highway equipment totaled approximately \$49,500 and that due to minimal snow plowing costs in the last winter budget, it was estimated that a \$15,000 surplus would exist.

John Wheeler, Chairman of the Budget Committee asked for some clarification of the figures presented. He asked if there would be an impact on future budgets or the tax rate. Mrs. Clark responded that there would not be. All financing would be from the 1984-86 approved budgets and from the reserve fund which had been set aside over the past few years for this specific purpose.

There were no further questions. Article I was adopted as moved.

ARTICLE II: To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Board of Selectmen to convey at their discretion a small parcel of land located on Campground Road approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ of an acre in area (parcel #26-02-01 on the 1984 edition of the Lee tax maps) to the abutting landowners (Siesta Shores Campground) for consideration that is equitable and just.

Article II was moved by Mr. Ford and seconded by Mrs. Clark. A printed handout showing a map of the parcel in question was distributed to those present.

Mr. Ford explained that the parcel under consideration was acquired by the Town of Lee in 1896 for gravel. The gravel has since been mined out and the Town no longer has a use for the land. Mr. and Mrs. Poulin, owners of the Siesta Shores Campground, plan to sell the campground, retaining a three acre piece

of land on which to build a home so that they may reside in Lee. The ¼ acre of land owned by the town effectively blocks the road frontage on the land on which the Poulins wish to build. They have approached the selectmen asking to purchase this parcel of land.

Mr. Ford explained that the Selectmen are agreeable to selling the parcel of land with two specific conditions attached:

- 1) That the ¼ acre is appraised by a professional to determine a fair market value and to arrive at the selling price;
- 2) That the ¼ acre be resubdivided or combined with the adjacent land in order to form a conforming lot of at least two acres.

There were no questions concerning Article II. The Article was adopted as moved.

ARTICLE III: To transact any other business which may come legally before this meeting.

Brian Burke moved to adjourn. This motion was seconded by Mrs. Clark. The Special Town Meeting was adjourned at 7:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted

Penelope A. Stetson
Town Clerk
Town of Lee, New Hampshire

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL TOWN MEETING

OCTOBER 29, 1985

The Special Town Meeting for the Town of Lee, New Hampshire was called to order at 8:00 a.m. at the Lee Town Hall on Tuesday, October 29, 1985 by the Town Moderator, Dale Swanson. The polls were open for voting on six amendments to the Lee Zoning Ordinance.

Present from the Board of Selectmen were: Shirley Clark, Joseph Ford and Wallace Dennis. Also present were Town Clerk, Penelope Stetson and Deputy Town Clerk, Donna Eisenhard. Ballot Clerks were sworn in: David Allan, Suzanne Derocchi, Claire Webb, George Webb; Supervisors of the Checklist: Natalie Allan, Hazel Walden, and Joan Seeley.

Article I was moved by Mrs. Clark and Seconded by Mr. Ford. Results of balloting were as follows:

1. Are you in favor of Amendment #1 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article II by deletion of the current definition of Variance (Dimensional) and Variance (Use) and substituting the following:

Variance A deviation from the terms of the Ordinance, not otherwise permitted which may be granted by the Zoning Board of Adjustment pursuant to its discretionary powers, where the Board finds that the granting of such variance will do substantial justice and the intent of the ordinance will still be observed. The Board of Adjustment may in such cases grant a variance only where such literal enforcement would result in unnecessary hardship to the applicant. In order to support such a variance it must be found:

- (1) That no diminution in value of surrounding properties will occur;
- (2) That its denial would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking it;

(3) That special conditions exist which distinguish the property from other similarly restricted property in the area and create such unnecessary hardship;

(4) That by granting the variance substantial justice would be done;

(5) That the change will not be contrary to the spirit of the Ordinance.

A variance for non-residential use is subject to site review by the Planning Board?

Yes: 74

No: 23

2. Are you in favor of Amendment #2 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article III Section E(3) by adding the following: Any waste water disposal system which is not expressly prohibited within the Town of Lee by Ordinance, may be considered acceptable if such system meets state requirements?

Yes: 84

No: 12

3. Are you in favor of Amendment #3 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article III Section I pertaining to the Shoreline Conservation District by the deletion of Section (2) and adding the following:

(2) Within this district, the following restrictions shall apply (except where otherwise permitted or required by State or Federal regulations):

a. There shall be no permanent or temporary dwellings or other structures established with the exception of structures necessary for the housing of pumps;

b. There shall be no roads, driveways or parking areas;

c. There shall be no waste water disposal systems;

d. There shall be no excavation or filling unless approved by the Planning Board (review by the Conservation Commission will be requested.)

(3) Within this district, the following uses are permitted:

- a. wells
- b. unpaved footpaths;
- c. dry hydrants if necessary?

(The remainder of this Article shall be renumbered sequentially.)

Yes: 69

No: 27

4. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #4 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article IV pertaining to the residential zone by deleting Section B(1) and substituting the following:

(1) All lots used to for residential purposes shall contain at least 85,000 square feet with a minimum frontage of two hundred fifty (250) feet. However, a building housing any permitted use may be constructed on a lot of record at the time of this ordinance if setback and other requirements can be met and provided that the lot meets the soil requirements for the installation of a waste water disposal system as outlined in Article V Section N of the Lee Building Regulations Ordinance and amendments thereto.

Any vacant lot that does not meet minimum size and frontage requirements and is contiguous to another lot or lots in the same ownership, shall be combined with the contiguous lot(s) prior to sale or development so as to make a conforming or a more nearly conforming lot(s).

Yes: 67

No: 31

5. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #5 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article IV pertaining to residential zone by adding the following:

(3) Any existing part-time residence which is to be used between October 1 and April 1 in any given calendar year is to be considered a year round dwelling. No such use shall be made unless the lot meets the soil requirements for the installation of a waste water disposal system as outlined in Article V Section N of the Lee Building Regulation Ordinance and Amendments thereto?

Yes: 72

No: 26

6. Are you in favor of the adoption of amendment #6 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending the Ordinance by the deletion of Article III Section F and substituting an Article exclusively pertaining to the control of the erection, installation and maintenance of signs within the Town of Lee. (This article is posted in its entirety in the voting booths.)?

Yes: 69

No: 29

The polls were closed and the Town Meeting adjourned at 7:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Penelope A. Stetson
Town Clerk, Town of Lee, New Hampshire

SCHEDULE OF TOWN PROPERTY

As of June 30, 1985

Description	Value
Town Hall, Lands & Building	\$116,700.00
Furniture & Equipment	15,000.00
Library, Land & Building	56,500.00
Furniture & Equipment	20,000.00
Police Department, Lands & Buildings	41,900.00
Equipment	25,000.00
Fire Department, Land & Building	92,800.00
Equipment	125,000.00
Highway Department, shed	12,000.00
Equipment	90,000.00
Materials & Supplies	6,000.00
Parks, Commons & Playgrounds	27,400.00
Recycling Center (land & buildings)	123,000.00
Historical Building	25,400.00
Lands Acquired thru Tax Collector's Deeds	
Land (9.7 acres)	15,800.00
Land (3.0 acres)	4,500.00
Land (1.0 acres)	10,000
Land (7.0 acres)	20,000
TOTAL	\$827,000.00

SUMMARY OF INVENTORY

1985 Assessing Year

Land	\$16,476,650.00
Buildings	33,587,600.00
Mobile Homes	<u>1,341,100.00</u>
TOTAL VALUATIONS	
BEFORE EXEMPTIONS	51,405,350.00
Elderly Exemptions	<u>(244,500.00)</u>
NET ASSESSED VALUATION ON WHICH	
THE 1985 TAX RATE WAS SET	\$51,160,850.00
Tax rate per \$1,000 assessed valuation: \$30.70 (Town 3.24, County 3.44, School 24.02)	
Gross property taxes assessed	\$ 1,570,638.06
Less veterans' exemptions	(11,650.00)
Resident Tax Warrant	<u>16,080.00</u>
TOTAL WARRANTS	\$ 1,575,068.06
Added Taxes	
Property Taxes	\$ 21,549.16
Resident Taxes	<u>1,740.00</u>
TOTAL ADDED WARRANTS	\$ 23,289.16
GRAND TOTAL OF WARRANTS	
COMMITTED TO TAX COLLECTOR	<u>\$ 1,598,357.22</u>

AUDITOR'S CERTIFICATE

October 15, 1985

We have examined the accounts of the Selectmen, the Treasurer, Library Trustees, Town Clerk, Tax Collector, Trustees of the Trust Funds, Road Agent and Building Inspector and find them to be correct to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Respectfully submitted,

Diane Spires

Beryle Banks

Auditors, Town of Lee

SELECTMEN'S REPORT OF EXPENDITURES

For Fiscal Year July 1, 1984 Through June 30, 1985

501 TOWN OFFICERS' SALARIES

Selectmen	\$ 3,000.00
Treasurer	3,000.00
Town Clerk/Tax Collector	14,500.00
Deputy Town Clerk/Tax Collector	6,821.46
Auditors	400.00
TOTAL	\$27,721.46

This budget item was overexpended by \$621.46.

502 TOWN OFFICERS' EXPENSES

Administrative Assistant	\$18,000.00
Secretarial	3,031.30
Postage and mailings	1,735.08
Office supplies	1,817.76
Telephone	1,753.25
Releases and redemptions	362.50
Resource materials and workshops	486.24
Tax map update	589.60
Equipment maintenance	694.68
Travel, conference, and dues	776.03
Legal notices	19.45
Equipment purchase	930.00
Miscellaneous	73.25
TOTAL	\$30,269.14

This budget item was overexpended by \$169.14.

503 ELECTION AND REGISTRATION

Town Meeting (reg. and special)	\$4,408.47
Town Report	4,486.44
Postage and office supplies	435.92
Secretarial/mileage	505.15
Miscellaneous	50.25
TOTAL	\$9,886.23

This budget item was overexpended by \$2,386.23 due mostly to the costs of special town meetings.

504 TOWN HALL AND OTHER BUILDINGS

Heating fuel (4,769.1 gals.)	\$5,250.28
Janitorial	947.05
Electricity	1,862.54
Cleaning supplies	610.25
Repairs to buildings	473.90
TOTAL	\$9,144.02

505 CONTINGENCY FUND

Water Resource Action Project	\$ 689.00
No parking signs	1,193.90
Copy machine purchase	1,000.00
TOTAL	\$2,882.90

506 PROPERTY APPRAISAL

Maintenance Agreement	\$2,193.00
Property tax bills	1,440.90
Appraisal work	2,532.02
TOTAL	\$6,165.92

This budget item was overexpended by \$665.92

510 POLICE DEPARTMENT

Chief's salary	\$22,500.00
Secretarial	6,779.25
Specials' wages	29,705.24
Cruiser maintenance	3,045.27
Gasoline	4,252.36
Equipment purchase	2,333.98
Telephone	3,609.97
Radio repairs	828.04
Office supplies	2,426.77
Uniforms, evidence	812.37
Electricity	635.15
Dues, conference, travel	1,218.21
TOTAL	\$78,146.61

This budget item was overexpended by \$1,534.61.

511	SPECIAL DUTY	
Police		\$2,035.21
Fire		406.00
Town's share of FICA		155.87
TOTAL		<u>\$2,597.08</u>

512	FIRE DEPARTMENT	
Chief's salary		\$ 1,000.00
Volunteers' wages		2,903.77
Truck maintenance		2,117.62
Utilities		577.86
Equipment purchase		7,106.65
Radio repairs		560.57
Gasoline		910.74
Repairs to physical plant		399.21
Office supplies/dues		224.15
Telephone		127.20
Heating fuel		1,137.41
Miscellaneous		37.12
TOTAL		<u>\$17,102.30</u>

This budget item was overexpended by \$52.30

513	PLANNING AND ZONING	
Planning Assistant		\$4,639.71
Office supplies/travel		1,939.84
Legal notices		972.63
Recording fees		486.20
Mailing costs		1,509.81
TOTAL		<u>\$9,548.19</u>

This budget item was overexpended by \$2,348.19. \$1,895.50 of the amount expended is returned to the Town through fees paid. See Treasurer's Report.

515	INSURANCE	
Health insurance		\$ 2,377.81
Workers' compensation		6,293.00
Public officials' liability		238.00
Fire and liability		500.00
Bonds		571.00
Fleet policy		6,662.00
TOTAL		<u>\$16,641.81</u>

516	DOG CARE	
Car repairs	\$320.09
Dog care	333.00
Tags and supplies	<u>138.04</u>
TOTAL	\$791.13

In fiscal '85, licensing fees for dogs generated \$1,730.20 in revenue for the town.
See Town Clerk's report

517	CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
Office supplies	\$ 50.00
Annual appropriation	<u>1,500.00</u>
TOTAL	\$1,550.00

520	HEALTH DEPARTMENT	
Newmarket Health Center	\$ 600.00
Oyster River Home Health	2,950.00
Durham Ambulance	4,144.00
Health Officer's salary	<u>250.00</u>
TOTAL	\$7,944.00

522	RECYCLING CENTER	
Operator's wages	\$5,787.25
Grounds maintenance	769.98
Repairs to equipment	1,490.34
Utilities	519.53
Hauling of container	<u>1,860.67</u>
TOTAL	\$10,427.77

523	LAMPREY REGIONAL SOLID WASTE	
1226.66 tons @ \$17.00	<u>\$20,853.22</u>
TOTAL	\$20,853.22

525 TOWN ROAD MAINTENANCE

Labor	\$ 1,825.24
Tree removal	1,500.00
Hired equipment	18,205.60
Materials	9,389.09
Asphalt	26,292.12
Dump Truck	<u>15,000.00</u>
TOTAL	\$72,212.05

527 GENERAL EXPENSES OF THE HIGHWAY

Shop Time	\$ 204.20
Parts	4,634.42
Fuel oil	385.84
Gas/Electricity	<u>363.62</u>
TOTAL	\$ 5,588.08

The remaining balance of the highway accounts (\$12,199.89) has been held over to fiscal '86 to absorb some of the expense of the salary of a full time road agent.

528 ADDITIONAL HIGHWAY SUBSIDY

Labor	\$ 207.99
Hired equipment	3,634.70
Materials	593.25
Asphalt	<u>2,000.31</u>
TOTAL	\$6,436.25

As of June 30, 1985, there remained a balance in this account of \$4,015.36.

530 LIBRARY

Librarian's wages	\$ 4,308.78
Assistant Librarian	2,701.13
Quarterly payments	5,569.15
Miscellaneous	<u>355.94</u>
TOTAL	\$12,935.00

531 OLD AGE ASSISTANCE

Mandated costs	<u>\$1,236.45</u>
TOTAL	\$1,236.45

532 TOWN POOR

General relief	<u>\$ 3,702.14</u>
TOTAL	\$3,702.14

MEMORIAL DAY AND OTHER CELEBRATIONS

535		
Flags	\$	75.00
TOTAL	\$	75.00

536 RECREATION

Oyster River Youth Association	\$3,222.00
Repairs to facilities	185.00
Care of grounds	187.92
Miscellaneous	30.00
TOTAL	\$3,624.92

The remaining balance of this appropriation (\$784.59) has been held over to fiscal '86 to help defray the costs of repairs to facilities. See Balance Sheet; Other Liabilities.

538 CEMETERIES

Wages	\$2,893.86
Gas and oil	154.97
Tree removal	900.00
Equipment repairs	427.84
Mileage	351.83
Miscellaneous and dues	262.70
TOTAL	\$4,991.20

Some of the expenditures for the cemeteries is reimbursed to the Town from the cemetery trust funds. See Treasurer's Report and the Report of the Trustees of the Trust Funds.

540 LEGAL EXPENSES

Lee Homes case	\$16,561.39
Legal Services	5,847.05
TOTAL	\$22,408.44

\$2,556.88 of the amount expended had been carried over from fiscal '84; See Balance Sheet, Other Liabilities, Town Report for year ending June 30, 1984. The court costs for the Lee Homes case were reimbursed to the Town per a court order.

541 REGIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

Strafford Regional	
Planning Commission	\$1,429.00
Durham Dispatch Center	5,196.00
Strafford Community Action	500.00
TOTAL	\$7,125.00

542	TAXES BOUGHT BY THE TOWN	
1985 tax sale on the '84 Levy		<u>\$92,006.23</u>
TOTAL		\$92,006.23

543	DISCOUNTS, ABATEMENTS AND REFUNDS	
Resident tax overpayments		\$ 32.00
Auto registration refunds		1,904.00
Property tax overpayments		1,387.88
Yield tax bonds		<u>1,804.50</u>
TOTAL		\$5,128.38

544	RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY	
Town's share of FICA		\$ 8,792.70
Retirement		<u>5,805.28</u>
TOTAL		\$14,597.98

This budget item was over expended by \$1,097.98

545	CAPITAL RESERVE	
Fire equipment		\$10,000.00
Highway equipment		<u>10,000.00</u>
TOTAL		\$20,000.00

546	BULK GAS	
Sold to other agencies		<u>\$9,922.20</u>
TOTAL		\$9,922.20

547	BUILDING INSPECTOR	
Salary		\$1,250.00
		<u>2,579.25</u>
TOTAL		\$3,829.25

563	LAND, BUILDINGS, AND NEW EQUIPMENT	
Dump truck		\$17,406.76
Jaws of life		4,000
Radio		2,852.00
Police cruiser		<u>10,500.00</u>
TOTAL		\$34,758.76

564 TOWN BUILDINGS IMPROVEMENTS

General maintenance	\$ 785.26
Library improvements	1,209.34
Labor costs	796.50
Equipment purchase	<u>299.00</u>
TOTAL	\$3,090.10

The remaining balance (\$1,909.90) of this appropriation has been held over into fiscal '86 for the construction of the library ramp..

571 PAYMENTS TO STATE AND COUNTY

Marriage license fees	\$ 377.00
Dog license fees	164.00
Strafford County payment	<u>139,340.00</u>
TOTAL	\$139,881.00

572 PAYMENTS TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Oyster River School District	\$1,056,393.67
TOTAL	<u>\$1,056,393.67</u>

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF
APPROPRIATIONS AND EXPENDITURES
1984-85 FISCAL YEAR**

Account	Appropriation	Expended	Prior Year's Liability
Town Officers salaries	\$ 27,100	\$ 27,721.46	
Town Officers' expenses	30,100	30,269.14	
Election & Registration	7,500	9,886.23	
Town Hall & Other Bldgs.	9,150	9,144.02	
Contingency Fund	3,600	2,892.90	
Property Appraisal	5,500	6,165.92	
Police Department	76,612	78,146.61	
Special Duty	14,000	2,597.08	
Fire Department	17,050	17,102.30	
Civil Defense	100	0.00	
Planning & Zoning	7,200	9,548.19	
Insurance	20,700	16,641.81	
Dog Care	1,500	791.13	
Conservation Commission	1,550	1,550.00	
Health Department	7,783	7,944.00	
Recycling Center	11,000	10,427.77	
Lamprey Coop	18,598	20,853.22	
Town Road Maintenance	79,000	72,212.05	
General Expenses of Hgwy.	11,000	5,588.08	
Additional Hgwy. Sub.			6,436.25
Library	12,935	12,935.00	
Old Age Assistance	6,000	1,236.45	
Town Poor	9,000	3,702.14	
Memorial Day & Other Cel.	400	75.00	
Recreation Commission	4,222	3,437.41	187.51
Cemeteries	5,000	4,991.20	
Legal Expenses	12,000	19,852.16	2,556.28
Regional Associations	1,929	1,929.00	
Dispatch	5,196	5,196.00	
Retirement & Social Security	13,500	14,597.98	
Payments to Capital Reserve	20,000	20,000.00	
Building Inspector	2,000	3,829.25	
Bulk Gas	7,500	9,922.20	
Interest on Temp. Loans	3,000	0.00	
Land, Bldgs., New Equipment	48,100	34,758.76	

Town Buildings Imprvmnts.	<u>5,000</u>	<u>3,090.10</u>
TOTALS	\$504,825	\$475,470.81

In addition to the amount expended, the following has been obligated and carried over into fiscal 1986 (See Balance Sheet, Special Appropriations and Other Liabilities):

Highways funds	\$ 12,199.87
Recreation Commission	784.59
Radio purchase	748.00
Town Buildings Imprvmnts.	<u>1,909.90</u>
TOTAL	\$ 15,642.36

Hence, the surplus in the appropriations budget is \$13,711.83:

\$504,825.00	Total appropriations
<u>-491,113.17</u>	Expended and/or obligated
13,711.83	Surplus in appropriations budget

SUMMARY OF PAYMENTS

ACCOUNT NO.	AMOUNT EXPENDED
501 Town Officers' Salaries	\$ 27,721.46
502 Town Officers' Expenses	30,269.14
503 Election & Registration	9,886.23
504 Town Hall & Other Bldgs.	9,144.02
505 Contingency Fund	2,892.90
506 Property Appraisal	6,165.92
510 Police Department	78,146.61
511 Special Duty	2,597.08
512 Fire Department	17,102.30
513 Planning & Zoning	9,548.19
514 Civil Defense	0.00
515 Insurance	16,641.81
516 Dog Care	791.13
517 Conservation Commission	1,550.00
520 Health Department	7,944.00
522 Recycling Center	10,427.77
523 Lamprey Regional Solid Waste	20,853.22
525 Town Road Maintenance	72,212.05
527 General Expenses of Highway	5,588.08
528 Additional Highway Subsidy	6,436.25
530 Library	12,935.00
531 Old Age Assistance	1,236.45
532 Town Poor	3,702.14
535 Memorial Day & Other Celebrations	75.00
536 Recreation Commission	3,624.92
538 Cemeteries	4,991.20
540 Legal Expenses	22,408.44
541 Regional Associations	7,125.00
542 Taxes bought by the Town	92,006.23
543 Discounts, Abatements & Refunds	5,128.38
544 Retirement & Social Security	14,597.98
545 Capital Reserve	20,000.00
546 Bulk Gas	9,922.20
547 Building Inspector	3,829.25
551 Interest on Temporary Loans	0.00
563 Land, Buildings, New Equipment	34,758.76
564 Town Buildings Improvements	3,090.10
571 Payments to State & County	139,881.00
572 Payments to School District	1,056,393.67
TOTAL	\$1,771,623.88

TREASURER'S REPORT

FOR FISCAL YEAR 1984-1985

Cash on hand, July 1, 1984	\$ 378,287.49
Received from Town Clerk	
Motor vehicle permits	\$ 114,543.00
Dog Licenses	1,730.20
Marriage Licenses	580.00
Dump Permits	131.00
Copies Vitals	69.21
Misc. fees	17.00
Filing fees	4.00
Title fees	614.00
UCC fees	609.20
	<hr/>
	\$ 118,297.61
Received from Tax Collector:	
1985 property taxes	\$ 180,591.60
1985 resident taxes	6,610.00
1985 current use change tax	12,620.00
1985 yield taxes	569.09
	<hr/>
	\$ 199,940.69
1984 property taxes	\$ 930,279.43
1984 resident taxes	12,110.00
1984 current use change tax	4,274.13
1984 resident tax penalties	185.00
1984 yield taxes	3,343.88
1984 interest on property taxes	16,316.63
1984 redemptions (property taxes)	33,613.50
1984 redemptions, interest & costs	653.69
	<hr/>
	\$1,000,776.26
1983 resident taxes	\$ 150.00
1983 resident tax penalties	15.00
1983 redemptions (property taxes)	58,203.83
1983 redemptions, interest & costs	6,871.83
	<hr/>
	\$ 65,240.66
1982 yield taxes	\$ 69.00
1982 redemptions (property taxes)	40,057.94
1982 redemptions, interest & costs	12,521.64
	<hr/>
	\$ 52,521.64

Received from Selectmen's Office:

Yield tax bonds	\$ 407.50
Use of copier	766.70
Gasoline sold	4,993.99
Refunds & adjustments	2,483.28
Bldg. permits, fines, filing fees	7,197.50
Septic system permits	455.00
Income from departments	3,517.68
Interest on deposits	22,600.64
Sale of glass	218.75
National Bank stock	12.00
Gift from J. Smith Grange	500.00
	<hr/>
	\$ 43,153.04

Received from the State of New Hampshire:

Business profits tax	\$ 38,652.88
Highway Block grant	31,096.15
State revenue distribution	40,347.38
	<hr/>
	\$ 110,096.41

Treasurer's transactions

Revenue sharing	\$ 10,442.07
	<hr/>
	\$ 10,442.07

TOTAL INCOME \$1,978,755.07

TOTAL EXPENSES 1,771,623.88

CASH ON HAND June 30, 1985 \$ 207,131.99

Respectfully submitted,
Faye Keniston, *Treasurer*
Town of Lee

TOWN OF LEE, N.H.
TAX COLLECTOR'S REPORT
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1985
- DR. -

	Levies of:			
Uncollected Taxes —				
Beginning of Fiscal Year	1985	1984	1983	1982
Property Taxes		\$258,644.46	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
Resident Taxes		11,900.00	430.00	-0-
National Bank Stock Taxes ...		-0-	-0-	-0-
Land Use Change Taxes		-0-	-0-	-0-
Yield Taxes		-0-	-0-	69.00
Sewer Rents		-0-	-0-	-0-
Taxes Committed to Collector:				
Property Taxes	\$678,283.63	\$673,899.16	-0-	-0-
Resident Taxes	16,080.00	-0-	-0-	-0-
National Bank Stock Taxes ...		-0-	-0-	-0-
Land Use Change Taxes	13,210.00	4,619.13	-0-	-0-
Yield Taxes	590.09	3,343.88	-0-	-0-
Added Taxes:				
Property Taxes	-0-	45,139.42	-0-	-0-
Resident Taxes	120.00	1,210.00	-0-	-0-
Overpayments:				
a/c Property Taxes	173.88	168.34	-0-	-0-
a/c Resident Taxes		-0-	-0-	-0-
a/c Yield taxes	102.00	-0-	-0-	-0-
Interest Collected on Delinquent				
Property Taxes:	-0-	16,316.63	-0-	-0-
Penalties Collected				
on Resident Taxes:	-0-	185.00	15.00	-0-
TOTAL DEBITS	\$708,559.60	\$1,015,426.02	\$445.00	\$69.00

— CR. —

Remittances to Treasurer During Fiscal Year:				
Property Taxes	\$180,591.60	\$930,279.43	-0-	-0-
Resident Taxes	6,160.00	12,110.00	150.00	-0-
National Bank Stock Taxes ...	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Yield Taxes	569.09	3,343.88	-0-	69.00
Sewer Rents	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Land Use Change Taxes	12,620.00	4,274.13	-0-	-0-
Interest Collected				
During Year	-0-	16,316.63	-0-	-0-
Penalties on Resident Taxes ...	-0-	185.00	15.00	-0-

Discounts Allowed:**Abatements Made During Year:**

Property Taxes	425.96	47,571.95	-0-	-0-
Resident Taxes	-0-	140.00	280.00	-0-
Yield Taxes	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Land Use Change	590.00	345.00	-0-	-0-

Uncollected Taxes—**End of Fiscal Year:**

(As Per Collector's List)

Property Taxes	497,439.95	-0-	-0-	-0-
Resident Taxes	10,040.00	860.00	-0-	-0-
National Bank Stock Taxes ...	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Yield Taxes	123.00	-0-	-0-	-0-
Land Use Change	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

TOTAL CREDITS	\$708,559.60	\$1,015,426.02	\$ 445.00	\$ 69.00
----------------------	--------------	----------------	-----------	----------

SUMMARY OF TAX SALES ACCOUNTS
FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1985

— DR. —

Tax Sales on Account of Levies Of

	1984	1983	1982	Previous Years
Balance of Unredeemed Taxes—				
Beginning Fiscal Year 7/1/84		\$90,642.57	\$40,925.65	-0-
Taxes Sold To Town During				
Current Fiscal Year**	92,006.23	-0-	-0-	-0-
Interest Collected After Sale ...	345.60	6,180.88	11,915.30	-0-
Redemption Costs	307.99	690.95	479.40	-0-
TOTAL DEBITS	\$92,659.82	\$97,514.40	53,320.35	

— CR. —

Remittances to Treasurer During Year:

Redemptions	\$33,613.60	58,203.83	\$40,057.94	
Interest & Costs After Sale ...	653.59	6,871.83	12,394.70	
Abatements During Year	-0-	50.00	-0-	
Deeded To Town				
During Year	336.41	905.77	867.71	
Unredeemed Taxes —				
End of Fiscal Year	58,056.22	31,482.97	-0-	
Unremitted Cash	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
TOTAL CREDITS	\$92,659.82	\$97,514.40	\$53,320.35	

*These sums represent the total of Unredeemed Taxes, as of July 1, 1984 from Tax Sales held in Previous Fiscal Years.

**Amount of Tax Sale(s) held during current fiscal year, including total amount of taxes, interest and costs to date of sale(s).

TOWN OF LEE, N.H.
TAX COLLECTOR'S REPORT
INTERIM REPORT ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1985

-DR. -

Uncollected Taxes— Beginning of Fiscal Year	Levies Of:			Prior 1982
	1985	1984	1983	
Property Taxes	\$ 497,439.95	\$ -0-	\$	\$
Resident Taxes	10,040.00	870.00		
National Bank Stock Taxes	-0-	-0-		
Land Use Change Taxes	-0-	-0-		
Yield Taxes	123.00	-0-		
Sewer Rents		-0-		
Taxes Committed to Collector:				
Property Taxes	\$ 880,704.43	\$ -0-	\$	\$
Resident Taxes	-0-	-0-		
National Bank Stock Taxes	-0-	-0-		
Land Use Change Taxes	40,405.00	-0-		
Yield Taxes	1,176.70	-0-		
Sewer Rents	-0-	-0-		
Added Taxes:				
Property Taxes	44,849.71	-0-		
Resident Taxes	1,460.00	-0-		
	-0-			
Overpayments:				
a/c Property Taxes	212.40	-0-		
a/c Resident Taxes	30.00	-0-		
Interest Collected on Delinquent Property Taxes:	2,358.10	-0-		
Penalties Collected on Resident Taxes:	69.00	4.00		
TOTAL DEBITS	\$1,478,868.29	\$874.00		

—CR. —

Remittances to Treasurer During Fiscal Year:				
Property Taxes	\$1,090,307.26	\$ -0-	\$	\$
Resident Taxes	9,410.00	40.00		
National Bank Stock Taxes	-0-	-0-		
Yield Taxes	203.00	-0-		
Sewer Rents	-0-	-0-		
Land Use Change Taxes	31,605.00	-0-		
Interest Collected During Year	2,358.10	-0-		
Penalties on Resident Taxes	69.00	4.00		
Discounts Allowed:	-0-	-0-		

Abatements Made During Year:

Property Taxes	44,521.91	
Resident Taxes	210.00	820.00
Yield Taxes	-0-	
Sewer Rents	-0-	

Uncollected Taxes—As Of 12/31/85

(As Per Collector's List)

Property Taxes	288,377.32	-0-
Resident Taxes	1,910.00	10.00
National Bank Stock Taxes	-0-	
Yield Taxes	1,096.70	
Land Use Change Taxes	8,800.00	

TOTAL CREDITS	\$1,478,868.29	\$874.00
----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------

TOWN OF LEE, N.H.
SUMMARY OF TAX SALES ACCOUNTS
INTERIM REPORT DECEMBER 31, 1985

—DR.—

	Tax Sales on Account of Levies Of			
	1984	1983	1982	Previous Years
Balance of Unredeemed Taxes—				
Beginning Fiscal Year*	\$58,056.22	\$31,482.97	\$	\$
Taxes Sold To Town During				
Current Fiscal Year**	-0-	-0-		
Interest Collected After Sale	1,846.15	4,631.08		
Redemption Costs	316.09	270.32		
TOTAL DEBITS	\$60,218.46	\$36,384.37	\$	\$

—CR.—

Remittances to Treasurer During Year:				
Redemptions	\$22,669.31	\$18,781.70	\$	\$
Interest & Costs After Sale	2,162.24	4,901.40		
Abatements During Year	-0-	-0-		
Deeded To Town During Year	-0-	-0-		
Unredeemed Taxes—				
End of Fiscal Year	35,386.91	12,701.27		
Unremitted Cash	-0-	-0-		
TOTAL CREDITS	\$60,218.46	\$36,384.37	\$	\$

FINANCIAL REPORT

for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1985

BALANCE SHEET

ASSETS

Cash:	
All funds in custody of treasurer	\$ 207,131.99
In hands of officials	
Petty Cash (Town Clerk/Tax	
Collector's Office)	152.50
Conservation Commission	15,072.69
Revenue Sharing	32,928.17
TOTAL CASH	\$ 255,285.35
Capital Reserve Funds:	
Solid Waste Disposal	\$ 20,614.86
Fire Equipment	20,927.73
Highway Dept. Equipment	27,863.68
TOTAL	\$ 69,406.27
Accounts Due to Town	
Capital Reserve/Highway Trust	30,000.00
Gasoline Sold	1,021.00
Cemetery Care	5,841.74
TOTAL	36,862.74
Unredeemed Taxes: (for tax sale on	
account of)	
Levy of 1983	\$ 58,056.22
Levy of 1982	31,482.97
TOTAL	\$ 89,539.19
Uncollected Taxes: (including all taxes)	
Levy of 1984	\$ 860.00
TOTAL	\$ 860.00
Total Assets	\$ 451,953.55
GRAND TOTAL	\$ 451,953.55
Fund Balance—June 30, 1984	\$ 143,478.43
Fund Balance—June 30, 1985	115,481.93
Change in Financial Condition	(27,996.50)

LIABILITIES

Accounts Owed by the Town:

Unexpended Balances of	
Special Appropriations	\$ 6,673.25
Unexpended Revenue Sharing Funds	32,928.17
Conservation Commission	15,072.69
Other liabilities	12,984.46
Property Taxes Collected	
in Advance	<u>199,766.81</u>
TOTAL	\$ 267,425.39

Capital Reserve Funds:

Solid Waste Disposal	\$ 20,614.86
Fire Equipment	20,927.73
Highway Dept. Equipment	<u>27,863.68</u>
TOTAL	\$ 69,406.27

Total Liabilities	\$ 336,831.66
Fund Balance — Current Surplus	+115,121.89
GRAND TOTAL	\$451,953.55

RECEIPTS

FROM LOCAL TAXES:

Property Taxes-Current Year-1984	\$ 930,719.43
Property Taxes-Collected in Advance	180,591.60
Resident Taxes-Current Year-1984	12,110.00
Resident Taxes-Collected in Advance	6,160.00
National Bank Stock Taxes-	
Current Year 1984	12.00
Yield Taxes-Current Year-1984	3,343.88
Property Taxes and Yield Taxes—	
Previous Years	69.00
Resident Taxes-Previous Years	150.00
Land Use Change Tax	4,274.13
Interest Received on Delinquent Taxes	16,303.17
Penalties: Resident Taxes	200.00
Tax sales redeemed	151,368.95
Land Use Change & Yield Taxes	
Collected in Advance	<u>13,189.05</u>
TOTAL TAXES COLLECTED	
& REMITTED	\$1,318,491.25

INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUES:

Shared Revenue	\$ 40,347.38
Highway Block Grant	31,096.15
Business Profits	<u>38,652.88</u>

TOTAL

INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUES ...	\$ 110,096.41
--------------------------------	---------------

LICENSES AND PERMITS:

Motor Vehicle Permit Fees	\$ 114,543.00
Dog Licenses	1,730.20
Business Licenses, Permits & Filing Fees	<u>7,319.20</u>

TOTAL LICENSES & PERMITS	\$ 123,592.40
--------------------------------	---------------

CHARGES FOR SERVICES

Income from Departments	\$ 3,473.15
Special Duty	3,387.68
Sale of Gasoline	<u>4,993.99</u>

TOTAL CHARGE FOR SERVICES	\$ 11,854.82
---------------------------------	--------------

MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES

Interest on Deposits	<u>\$ 22,600.64</u>
----------------------------	---------------------

TOTAL

MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES	\$ 22,600.64
------------------------------	--------------

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Revenue Sharing Entitlement Fund	<u>10,442.07</u>
----------------------------------------	------------------

TOTAL

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	\$ 10,442.07
-------------------------------	--------------

NON-REVENUE RECEIPTS

Yield Tax Security Deposits	407.50
Refunds	2,483.24
Gifts	<u>500.00</u>

TOTAL

NON-REVENUE RECEIPTS	\$ 3,390.79
----------------------------	-------------

TOTAL RECEIPTS

FROM ALL SOURCES	1,600,468.38
------------------------	--------------

Cash on Hand July 1, 1984	<u>378,287.49</u>
---------------------------------	-------------------

GRAND TOTAL	\$1,978,755.87
-------------------	----------------

PAYMENTS

GENERAL GOVERNMENT:

Town Officers' Salaries	\$ 27,721.46
Town Officers' Expenses	30,269.14
Election & Registration Expenses	9,886.23
General Government Buildings	9,144.02
Reappraisal of Property	6,165.92
Planning and Zoning	9,548.19
Legal Expenses	22,408.44
Advertising and	
Regional Association	1,929.00
Contingency Fund	<u>2,892.90</u>

TOTAL GENERAL

GOVERNMENTAL EXPENSES	\$ 120,965.30
-----------------------	---------------

PUBLIC SAFETY

Police Department	78,146.61
Fire Department	17,102.30
Building Inspection	3,829.25
Special Duty	2,597.08

TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY

EXPENSES	\$ 101,675.24
----------	---------------

HIGHWAYS, STREETS, BRIDGES

Town Maintenance	72,212.05
General Highway Department	
Expenses	5,588.08
Highway Subsidy (additional)	<u>6,436.25</u>

TOTAL HIGHWAY & BRIDGES

EXPENSES	\$ 84,236.38
----------------	--------------

SANITATION

Solid Waste Disposal	\$ 10,427.77
Lamprey Regional	<u>20,853.22</u>

TOTAL SANITATION EXPENSES

	\$ 31,280.99
--	--------------

HEALTH

Health Department	\$ 250.00
Hospitals & Ambulances	4,744.00
Animal Control	791.13
Visiting Nurses	2,950.00

TOTAL HEALTH EXPENSES

	\$ 8,735.13
--	-------------

WELFARE	
General Assistance	\$ 3,702.14
Old Age Assistance	1,236.45
TOTAL WELFARE EXPENSES	<u>\$ 3,938.59</u>
CULTURE & RECREATION	
Library	\$ 12,935.00
Parks and Recreation	3,624.92
Patriotic Purposes	75.00
Conservation Commission	<u>1,550.00</u>
TOTAL CULTURE & RECREATIONAL EXPENSES	\$ 18,184.92
CAPITAL OUTLAY	
Police Radios & Cruiser	\$ 13,352.00
Dump Truck (special twm mtg)	17,406.76
Jaws of Life	4,000.00
Town Buildings Improvements	<u>3,090.10</u>
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 37,848.86
OPERATING TRANSFERS OUT	
Payments to Capital Reserve Funds	
Highway Equipment	\$ 10,000.00
Fire Equipment	10,000.00
Dispatch	<u>5,196.00</u>
TOTAL OPERATING TRANSFERS OUT	\$ 25,196.00
MISCELLANEOUS	
FICA Retirement & Pension	
Contributions	14,597.98
Insurance	16,641.81
Cemeteries	<u>4,991.20</u>
TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	\$ 36,230.00
UNCLASSIFIED	
Taxes Bought by Town	\$ 92,006.23
Discounts, Abatements and Refunds	5,128.38
Bulk Gas	<u>9,922.20</u>
TOTAL UNCLASSIFIED EXPENSES	\$ 107,056.81

PAYMENTS TO OTHER	
GOVERNMENTAL DIVISIONS	
Payment to State a/c	
Dog License & Marriage License Fees	541.00
Taxes Paid to County	139,340.00
Payment to School Districts	<u>1,056,393.67</u>
TOTAL PAYMENTS TO OTHER	
GOVERNMENTAL DIVISIONS	\$1,196,274.67
TOTAL PAYMENTS	
FOR ALL PURPOSES	1,771,623.88
Cash on hand June 30, 1985	<u>207,131.99</u>
GRAND TOTAL	\$1,978,754.87

REVENUE SHARING REPORT

Fiscal Year July 1, 1984 to June 30, 1985

Revenue Sharing Fund Balance July 1, 1984	\$26,088.25
Receipts: 1st quarter	\$4,925
2nd quarter	4,928
3rd quarter	2,833
4th quarter	2,833
Refund/overpayment	18
	15,537.00
Interest earned	1,744.99
TOTAL	43,370.24

Appropriations for 84-85 and 85-86 as per town meetings

Item	Appropriation or Available		Balance
	Balance	Expended	
Police Cruiser (84/85)	\$10,424.07	\$10,424.07	—0—
Water Resources Prot. (85/86)	10,000.00*	—0—	\$10,000.00
Transfer Station			
Operation (85/86)	5,664.18*	—0—	5,664.18*

*Obligated by the '85 Town Meeting to be expended in fiscal '86.

Amount available for appropriation by the 1986

Town Meeting for use in Fiscal 1987 \$17,281.99

REPORT OF THE TOWN CLERK

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1985

MV	Title	Vital Statistics			UCC		Deposit		
	Fees	Marr	Copies	Rec	Disc		Dogs	Misc.	Amt.
July 1984	\$ 8,957.00	\$ 80.00	\$24.00	\$ 16.20	\$ 8.00	\$	\$ 176.50	\$ 17.50	\$ 9,327.20
August 1984	8,085.00	60.00	6.00	80.00	16.00		30.55	24.50	8,356.05
September 1984	9,554.00	-0-	6.00	40.00	22.00		20.40	11.00	9,702.40
October 1984	11,721.00	20.00	3.00	64.00	9.00		7.00	7.00	11,900.00
November 1984	10,005.00	-0-	18.00	24.00	-0-		21.70	14.50	10,127.20
December 1984	5,498.00	-0-	-0-	24.00	16.00		7.50	6.50	5,575.00
January 1985	10,559.00	20.00	3.00	24.00	8.00		48.50	6.00	10,726.50
February 1985	7,613.00	40.00	3.00	32.00	56.00		38.50	9.00	7,832.50
March 1985	9,098.00	-0-	3.00	17.00	16.00		103.50	13.50	9,311.00
April 1985	9,356.00	80.00	-0-	40.00	24.00		300.00	20.50	9,875.50
May 1985	11,311.00	180.00	3.00	43.00	-0-		618.50	18.00	12,236.50
June 1985	12,786.00	100.00	-0-	24.00	-0-		357.55	10.00	13,327.55
Grand Total	\$114,543.00	\$580.00	\$69.00	\$428.20	\$175.00	\$	\$1,730.20	\$158.00	\$118,297.40

(1984-85 fiscal)

Penelope Stetson,
Town Clerk, Lee, N.H.

1985 ANNUAL REPORT TOWN OF LEE LIBRARY

I would like to invite all of you to come into the Town of Lee Library and see that inside it is much larger than it looks on the outside. Remember, you are paying taxes for a town library, and you should know where your money is going. I would also like to tell you what services are available. We are affiliated with the New Hampshire State Library, and one of our privileges is that we can borrow books that you request from them, or through inter-library loan. This includes fiction, or non-fiction, for all ages, on most subjects, for pleasure reading or school assignments, all grades through college. We also have some records, and classical records and films can be borrowed through the State Library. The Lee Library has framed prints that can be borrowed.

From July 1, 1984 through June 30, 1985, 1881 adults and 1022 children borrowed 9373 books, 220 magazines, 38 records, and 3 patterns. We borrowed 8 books from the State Library or inter-library loan. This number should be a lot higher, so if you need a book—ask if we can borrow if for you. 648 books were purchased or received as gifts. We subscribe to 13 magazines and 6 subscriptions are donated. We accept gifts of books, with the understanding that we do what we want with them. No text books, please. We thank everyone who donated books this past year.

In the summer we had six childrens Story Hours and hosted the “The Great Ladini”, a magician. We would like more programs for children, but need volunteers. Some interest has been shown for programs for adults. If anyone has any ideas, please let us know.

The N.H. Public Library Standards states that any library in a town having a population of over 2000 must be open 22 hours a week. Starting July 1, 1986 we will be open 4 more hours a week and on July 1, 1987, we will add 3 more hours.

The N.H. Library Spring Conference meeting and the N.H. Library Trustees Association Meeting were attended by trustees and/or librarians. The trustees held 9 meetings and Mrs. MacDonald or Mrs. Steffen attended Four RALI meetings (cooperative book buying), seven Oyster River Librarians meetings and 2 Forum meetings.

Pam Bishop is leaving as a trustee this year. She was very instrumental in the planning & construction of the new addition. This meant she spent a lot of time at meetings, on the phone and adding and subtracting figures. She did a fine job and we thank her very much.

Helen D. MacDonald
Librarian

1986
LEE TOWN WARRANT

TOWN OF LEE
TOWN WARRANT
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

To the inhabitants of the Town of Lee, County of Strafford, State of New Hampshire, qualified to vote in Town affairs:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED TO MEET AT THE LEE
TOWN HALL ON TUESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF
MARCH, 1986 TO ACT ON THE FOLLOWING SUBJECTS:

(Polls will be open from 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.)

1. To choose all necessary Town officers for the ensuing year.
2. To see if the Town will vote the changes in the Building Regulations pertaining to compensation for the Building Inspector, terminology, mobile home placement, application procedure, fees required, occupancy permits, maximum number of occupants in a household, set back requirements for wells, and underground fuel storage tanks as proposed by the Lee Planning Board.
3. To see if the Town will vote the changes in the Zoning Ordinance pertaining to the expansion of the commercial zone as proposed by the petition of Ivan Fogarty and at least twenty-five (25) other registered voters, and pertaining to fines and penalties, setback requirements for septic systems from wetland areas, the creation of an Aquifer Conservation District, multi-family development, land coverage in the commercial zone, and pertaining to the duties of the Zoning Board of Adjustment as proposed by the Lee Planning Board.

(Articles 4 thru 26 will be acted upon at the Mastway School on
Wednesday, the twelveth day of March, 1986 at 7:30 p.m.)
4. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate such sums of money as may be necessary to defray the Town charges for the ensuing fiscal year for general government, including town officers' salaries, town officers' expenses, election and registration, town building expenses, employees' retirement and social security, building inspector's salary, civil defense, police department, fire department, conservation commission, insurance, planning and zoning, legal expenses, health officer's salary, transfer station, town highway department, public library, public welfare of town poor, patriotic purposes, cemeteries and abandoned cemeteries, dog care and damages, debt service for interest on temporary loans, bulk gas, special duty, Lamprey Regional Solid Waste Cooperative, Town reports, recreation, appraisal of property, and contingency fund.
5. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) for the purchase of a twenty-one (21) acre tract of land located on Wheelwright Pond and designated as parcel #11-10-09

on the Lee tax maps.

6. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of twelve thousand, five hundred dollars (\$12,500) for repairs and improvements to the town buildings and attendant grounds.
7. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of eleven thousand, six hundred dollars (\$11,600) for the purchase of a used grader (\$8,000) and a used wood chipper (\$3,600) for the highway department.
8. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) to be deposited with the Trustees of the Trust Funds as capital reserve for fire equipment.
9. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of two thousand, six hundred dollars (\$2,600) for a fire alarm system for the Fire Station.
10. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of thirteen thousand dollars (\$13,000) for the purchase of a police cruiser.
11. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of two thousand, five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for the purchase of voting booths.
12. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of one thousand, three hundred dollars (\$1,300) for the purchase of radar equipment for the police department.
13. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of one thousand, five hundred dollars (\$1,500) for the Lee Conservation Commission.
14. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of two thousand, nine hundred, fifty dollars (\$2,950) for the Squamscott Home Health Association (formerly known as the Oyster River Home Health Association).
15. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of eight hundred dollars (\$800) for the Newmarket Health Center.
16. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of one thousand, six hundred, ninety-two dollars (\$1,692) for the Strafford Regional Planning Commission.
17. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of four thousand, six hundred, twenty-three dollars (\$4,623) for the Durham Ambulance Corps.
18. To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of five hundred dollars (\$500) for the Strafford County Community Action Program.
19. To see if the Town will vote to appropriate and authorize the withdrawal from the Revenue Sharing Fund established under the provisions of the State and Local Assistance Act of 1972 for use as setoffs against appropriations for the following specific purposes and amounts indicated herewith or take any other action thereon.

APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT
Police Cruiser	\$ 13,000.00
Highway Equipment (grader)	<u>4,281.99</u>
TOTAL	\$ 17,281.99

20. To see if the Town will vote to establish a trust fund for the purpose of financing all or part of the cost of the acquisition of land, agricultural developmental rights, and/or conservation easements; and further, to see if the Town will vote to appropriate from the anticipated surplus in the 1985-86 municipal budget, a sum not to exceed fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) to be deposited with the Trustees of the Trust Funds for the above stated purposes.

21. To see if the Town will vote to oppose the burial, storage, transportation and production of high level radioactive waste in the Town of Lee and State of New Hampshire; and to call upon Congress to conduct an independent investigation with full public participation into the feasibility and prudence of the US Department of Energy's (DOE's) present plan to dispose of radioactive waste and into the DOE's competence to carry out such a plan. The record of the vote on this article shall be transmitted to the Governor of New Hampshire, the New Hampshire Congressional Delegation, and the President of the United States. (By the petition of William R. Jones and at least nine other registered voters.)

22. To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to transfer tax liens and convey property acquired by the Town by Tax Collector's deeds by public auction or advertised sealed bids or in such manner as determined by the Selectmen as justice may require.

23. To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to accept on behalf of the Town monies, gifts, legacies, and investments and services, and to accept any Federal or State funds which may become available during fiscal 1986-87. Further, to see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to make application for said funds and then to expend the same for the purposes designated within applicable Federal or State regulations.

24. To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to sell surplus equipment valued at less than three hundred dollars (\$300) at private sale and to sell surplus equipment valued at more than three hundred dollars (\$300) at public auction or by advertised sealed bids; if the property remains unsold, to sell the remaining property through private sale.

25. To see if the Town will vote to authorize the Selectmen to borrow money in anticipation of taxes.

26. To transact any other business which may legally come before this meeting.

GIVEN UNDER OUR HANDS AND SEAL THIS TWENTY-FIRST DAY OF FEBRUARY IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD, NINETEEN HUNDRED EIGHTY-SIX.

Shirley M. Clark
Wallace E. Dennis
Joseph P. Ford
SELECTMEN OF LEE

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION
Municipal Services Division



BUDGET OF THE TOWN
OF _____ **N.H.**
LEE

Appropriations and Estimates of Revenue for the Ensuing Year January 1, 1986 to December 31, 1986 or
for Fiscal Year From JULY 1, _____ 19 86 to JUNE 30, _____ 19 87

Date February 21, 1986

SELECTMEN (PLEASE SIGN IN INK)

R.S.A., Chap. 31, Sect. 95. Immediately upon the close of the fiscal year the budget committee in towns where such committees exist, otherwise the selectmen, shall prepare a budget on blanks prescribed by the Department of Revenue Administration. Such budget shall be posted with the town warrant and shall be printed in the town report at least one week before the date of the town meeting.

THIS BUDGET SHALL BE POSTED WITH THE TOWN WARRANT

PURPOSES OF APPROPRIATION (RSA 31:4)	ACTUAL EXPENDITURES	APPROPRIATIONS	APPROPRIATIONS ENSUING FISCAL YEAR
GENERAL GOVERNMENT	(1984-85)	(1985-86)	(1986-87)
1 Town Officers Salary	27,721.46	30,700	32,100
2 Town Officers Expenses	30,269.14	36,300	43,100
3 Election and Registration Expenses	9,886.23	6,000	8,800
4 Cemeteries	4,991.20	5,000	5,600
5 General Government Buildings	9,144.02	10,900	11,700
6 Reappraisal of Property	6,165.92	7,000	8,500
7 Planning and Zoning	9,548.19	9,350	12,400
8 Legal Expenses	22,408.44	12,000	12,000
9 Advertising and Regional Association	1,929.00	1,968	2,192
10 Contingency Fund	2,892.90	4,200	4,800
11			
12			
13			
14			
PUBLIC SAFETY			
15 Police Department	78,146.61	99,000	116,825
16 Fire Department	17,102.30	20,450	27,990
17 Civil Defense	0	100	100
18 Building Inspection	3,829.25	2,400	10,000
19 Special Duty	2,597.08	10,000	10,000
20 Disptach Services	5,196.00	6,000	11,196
21			
22			
HIGHWAYS, STREETS & BRIDGES			
23 Town Maintenance	72,212.05	109,000	137,000
24 General Highway Department Expenses	5,588.08	0	0
25 Street Lighting			
26 Additional Highway Subsidy	6,436.25		
27			
28			
29			
30			
SANITATION			
31 Solid Waste Disposal	10,427.77	11,600	17,900
32 Garbage Removal			
33 Lamprey Regional Solid Waste	20,853.22	20,026	31,080
34			
35			
36			
HEALTH			
37 Health Department	250.00	200	200
38 Hospitals and Ambulances	4,744.00	4,970	5,423
39 Animal Control	791.13	1,500	1,500
40 Vital Statistics			
41 Visiting Nurses	2,950.00	2,950	2,950
42			
43			
WELFARE			
44 General Assistance	3,702.14	9,000	13,000
45 Old Age Assistance	1,236.45	6,000	0
46 Aid to the Disabled			
47			
48			

PURPOSES OF APPROPRIATION	ACTUAL EXPENDITURES 1984-85	APPROPRIATIONS 1985-86 (1985-86)	APPROPRIATIONS ENSUING FISCAL YEAR (1986-87)
CULTURE AND RECREATION			
49 Library	12,935.00	14,913	20,744
50 Parks and Recreation	3,624.92	4,976	5,214
51 Patriotic Purposes	75.00	400	400
52 Conservation Commission	1,550.00	1,550	1,550
53			
54			
55			
56			
DEBT SERVICE			
57 Principal of Long-Term Bonds & Notes			
58 Interest Expense - Long-Term Bonds & Notes			
59 Interest Expense - Tax Anticipation Notes	0	2,000	2,000
60 Fiscal Charges on Debt			
61			
62			
CAPITAL OUTLAY			
63 Police Radios & Cruiser	13,352.00	0	13,000
64 Dump truck (special twm mtg)	17,406.76	0	0
65 Jaws of Life	4,000.00	0	0
66 Town Bldgs Imp.	3,090.10	5,000	12,500
Highway Equipment	0	4,500	11,600
67 Fire Station Alarm System	0	0	2,600
68 Police radar unit	0	0	1,300
69 Land purchase	0	0	60,000
70 Voting Booths	0	0	2,500
71 Water Resources Protection		10,000	0
72 Septage /Landfill planning		3,000	0
73 OPERATING TRANSFER OUTS:			
74 Capital Reserve (Highway)	10,000.00	5,500	0
75 Capital Reserve (Fire)	10,000.00	10,000	10,000
MISCELLANEOUS			
76 Municipal Water Department			
77 Municipal Sewer Department			
78 Municipal Electric Department			
79 FICA, Retirement & Pension Contributions	14,597.98	17,700	21,000
80 Insurance	16,641.81	22,800	28,900
81 Unemployment Compensation			
82 Bulk Gas	9,922.20	6,000	8,000
83			
84			
85 TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS	478,214.60	534,953	727,664

Less Amount of Estimated Revenues, Exclusive of Taxes (Line 133) \$537,662.99

Amount of Taxes to be Raised (Exclusive of School and County Taxes) \$190,001.01

BUDGET OF THE TOWN OF LEE, N.H.

THIS BUDGET SHALL BE POSTED WITH THE TOWN WARRANT

SOURCES OF REVENUE		ACTUAL REVENUE	ESTIMATED REVENUE	ESTIMATED REVENUE
TAXES		(1984-85)	(1985-86)	(1986-87)
86	Resident Taxes	\$ 12,110.00	\$ 16,080	\$ 17,500
87	National Bank Stock Taxes			
88	Yield Taxes	3,343.88	1,000	2,000
89	Interest and Penalties on Taxes	36,236.85	30,000	30,000
90	Inventory Penalties		700	700
91	Land use change tax	4,274.13	35,100	50,100
92				
INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUES - STATE				
93	Shared Revenue - Block Grant	40,347.38	25,610	20,610
94	Highway Block Grant	31,096.15	32,621	32,621
95	Railroad Tax			
96	State Aid Water Pollution Project			
97	Reimb. a/c State-Federal Forest Land			
98	Other Reimbursements			
99	Business Profits Tax	38,652.88	48,150	48,150
100				
101				
102				
INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUES - FEDERAL				
103	Land & Water Conservation Grant (Estimated)			30,000
104				
105				
106				
107				
LICENSES AND PERMITS				
108	Motor Vehicle Permit Fees	114,543.00	110,000	130,000
109	Dog Licenses	1,730.20	1,700	1,700
110	Business Licenses, Permits and Filing Fees	7,319.20	5,000	9,000
111				
112				
113				
CHARGES FOR SERVICES				
114	Income from Departments	3,473.15	6,000	7,000
115	Rent of Town Property			
116	Bulk Gas	4,993.99	6,000	8,000
117	Special duty	3,387.68	10,000	10,000
118				
119				
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES				
120	Interest of Deposits	22,600.64	20,000	25,000
121	Sale of Town Property			
122	Yield tax bonds	407.50		
123	Refunds	2,483.24		
124	Gifts	500.00		
125				
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES				
126	Proceeds of Bonds and Long-Term Notes			
127	Income from Water and Sewer Departments			
128	Withdrawal from Capital Reserve		3,000	
129	Revenue Sharing Fund	10,442.07	15,664.18	17,281.99
130	Fund Balance	120,000.00	78,000.00	98,000.00
131				
132				
133	TOTAL REVENUES AND CREDITS	457,941.94	444,625.18	537,662.99

**ARTICLE II
PROPOSED
AMENDMENTS TO
BUILDING REGULATIONS**

ARTICLE II

TEXT OF THE PROPOSED BUILDING REGULATIONS AMENDMENTS

Explanation and Recommendations by the Planning Board

1. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board to the Lee Building Regulations as follows:

Amending Section 1 such that compensation for the Building Inspector shall be determined annually by the Board of Selectmen.

Currently the Building Inspector is compensated by a small salary plus fifty percent (50%) of all building permit fees. This amendment would increase his annual compensation to a reasonable and stable sum; fees would revert to the Town.

2. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #2 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board to the Lee Building Regulations as follows:

Amend the entire ordinance such that the word "building(s)" is replaced by the word "structure(s)"?

This change would expand the terminology in the ordinance to include mobile homes and other prefabricated type structures.

3. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #3 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board to the Lee Building Regulations as follows:

Amending Section 2 to add: Building permits shall be obtained for the placement of mobile homes on sites?

Although reference is made in the ordinance to mobile home standards, no permit for placement is currently required.

4. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #4 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board to the Lee Building Regulations as follows:

Amending Section 3 pertaining to building applications to add: Applications shall receive action by the Board of Selectmen in consultation with the Building Inspector. Each application shall be processed within a ten (10) day period?

This amendment provides for a review committee and a reasonable period of time to ensure that each application complies with local ordinances and regulations.

5. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #5 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board to the Lee Building Regulations as follows:

Amending Section 3 to increase building fees to ten dollars (\$10.00) for the first \$2,000 of estimated cost of construction and two dollars (\$2.00) for each additional \$1,000 of estimated building costs?

This amendment doubles building inspection fees to a more reasonable level and will supplement municipal revenues.

6. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #6 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board to the Lee Building Regulations as follows:

Adding Section 5 (renumbering subsequent sections) to require the issuance of occupancy permits by the Building Inspector after final inspection of any new structure to ascertain compliance with all local or state ordinances?

The requirement of occupancy permits will necessitate a final premise inspection of any new structure to ascertain compliance with all local or state ordinances and aid in code enforcement.

7. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #7 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Building Regulations as follows:

Amending Section 4 (1) to add: The maximum number of occupants in a household shall not exceed one per three hundred (300) square feet of habitable floor space?

This amendment would restrict overcrowding of residences through student rentals and prevent the overburdening of septic systems.

8. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #8 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Building Regulations as follows:

Amending Section 4 (g) to add: Wells shall not be placed closer than forty (40) feet to the boundary of an undeveloped lot or less than 75 feet from an existing or proposed septic system leach bed?

This is recommended to protect groundwater from contamination.

9. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #9 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Building Regulations as follows:

Amending Section 4(p) to add: All underground fuel storage tanks to be replaced or installed must be of rigid fiberglass and in addition must comply with all of the requirements set forth in Article XII (Aquifer Protection) of the Lee Zoning Ordinance. Plans shall be implemented to map and test all existing underground storage tanks.

This amendment incorporates the provisions of the Aquifer Conservation District as proposed by the Water Resources Action Committee in an attempt to protect Lee's groundwater.

**ARTICLE III
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS
TO THE
ZONING ORDINANCE**

ARTICLE III

TEXT OF THE PROPOSED ZONING AMENDMENTS

Recommendations and explanation by the Planning Board

1. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment # 1 as proposed by the petition of Ivan Fogarty and at least twenty-five (25) other registered voters for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows: (The Planning Board does not recommend this amendment.)

Amending Article I such that Zone C, the commercial zone, would be extended to encompass the area on Route 125 running south of Route 4 to the junction of Route 125 and Route 152 and extending seven hundred (700) feet to the east and west of the Route 125 right of way?

This amendment was submitted to the Planning Board by voter petition. The Planning Board voted unanimously not to recommend this amendment for the following reasons. Strafford Regional Planning Commission, at the request of the Planning Board, prepared an analysis of the Route 125 and Route 4 corridors and made recommendations regarding commercial expansion in these areas. Due to the natural land limitation, specifically soils and wetlands, and environmental considerations, the only section of town which appears to offer any possible land area for commercialization was across from the Lee Raceway between Fox Garrison and Mill Pond Roads. This limited area would require planning and funding for the development of service roads to minimize access off Route 125 due to traffic and safety concerns.

2. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #2 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article XVIII pertaining to fines and penalties by deleting the existing article and substituting: Any violation of this ordinance may be made punishable as set forth in New Hampshire Revised Statutes 676:17 and as amended?

This provision incorporates new state statutes effective January 1986 which sets forth punishment for zoning violations by civil fine or criminal penalty and permits prosecution through the local district courts.

3. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #3 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article XI (G) to increase the required distance from a wetland to a septic tank or leach field to one hundred twenty-five feet?

This amendment was recommended by Sharon Francis of the Water Resources Action Project to protect ground and surface waters from contamination.

4. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #4 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending the Ordinance by the addition of Article XII pertaining to the Aquifer Conservation District and renumbering subsequent articles?

This article was prepared by the Water Resources Action Committee and maps were prepared by Strafford Regional Planning. The purpose is to protect the groundwater and future water supplies of the towns of Lee and Durham. This article in entirety follows:

ARTICLE XII

AQUIFER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

A. Statement of Purpose and Intent

The purpose of this article is to protect the public health, safety and general welfare by providing for the protection and preservation of existing and potential groundwater resources, known as aquifers, in the Town of Lee, New Hampshire.

Incidents of contamination and shortage, occurring locally as well as nationwide, have brought forth concern regarding the necessity of planning for the protection of groundwater resources. Once considered an unlimited and unspoilable resource, the water supplied by aquifers in many New Hampshire towns has been made useless due to contamination. Some town have been forced into expensive projects in order to meet the public's need for water.

It is, therefore, the intent of this article to protect our known aquifers by preventing adverse land use practices and by limiting the kinds of development which are inconsistent with the preservation of a potable groundwater supply. This district will be managed in the interest of providing water of acceptable quality and adequate quantity for use by present and future generations of Lee residents (and possibly of neighboring towns with whom we share aquifers and the desire to use them wisely).

B. District Boundaries

(1) Aquifer Conservation District

The Aquifer Conservation District is identified as those areas depicted on the Lee Zoning map which are designated as having the potential to yield ground water. This designation is based on the US Geological Survey Map entitled "Availability of Groundwater in the Piscataqua and other Coastal River Basins of Southern New Hampshire". (Water Resources Investigation 77-70, 1977) and on the US Soil Conservation Service map entitled "Soil Survey of Strafford County", March, 1973.

(2) Aquifer District Incorrectly Delineated

Where it is alleged that an area has been incorrectly delineated as an aquifer, or that an area not so designated meets the criteria for aquifer designation, the Planning Board shall determine whether the regulations contained herein apply.

The Planning Board shall make their judgement under this section only upon the determination by a qualified hydrogeologist(s) on the basis of additional on-site investigation or other suitable research that the information contained on the Aquifer map is incorrect. This evidence shall be acceptable only when presented in written form by said hydrogeologist to the Planning Board. Any necessary test well(s) or other investigation shall be conducted at the expense of the landowner or the developer.

C. Relation to Other Zones or Districts

Where the Aquifer Conservation District is superimposed over another zoning district, the more restrictive regulations shall apply.

D. Permitted Uses

(1) Low density, residential development is permitted in the Aquifer Conservation District provided it meets the standards of Zone A as defined in Articles IV, XI, XII of this ordinance. Multi-family units must meet the standards of Zone A. No more than ten percent (10%) of a lot or tract in the Aquifer Conservation District shall be covered by pavement, roofing, or materials impervious to water.

(2) Accessory uses are permitted as in Zone A (Article IV,C) provided that they also meet the requirements listed in this article under industrial/commercial uses.

(3) Farming, gardening, nursery, forestry, and grazing are permitted provided that fertilizers, manure, pesticides, herbicides, and similar substances are used in accordance with applicable state and federal laws, including but not limited to New Hampshire RSA Chapters 149-D, 149-M, and 222.

Commercial use and temporary storage of inorganic fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides are also subject to performance standards as outlined by the New Hampshire Department of Agriculture. Outdoor unenclosed storage of these materials is not permitted.

(4) Recreational activities which pose no threat of contamination or pollution of groundwater and those which do not destroy the vegetative cover are permitted.

(5) Industrial/commercial uses are permitted in Zone C provided that they do not store or dispose of hazardous or toxic materials on site and that they do not discharge process waters on site. No more than ten percent (10%) of a lot or tract in the Aquifer Conservation District shall be covered by pavement, roofing, or materials impervious to water.

E. Prohibited Uses

(1) Subsurface storage of petroleum or refined petroleum products is prohibited in the Aquifer Conservation District. Existing underground tanks over 1100 gallons are subject to New Hampshire Water Supply and Pollution Control Commission regulations.

Existing underground tanks under 1100 gallons shall be inventoried within six (6) months of the adoption of this ordinance. All existing underground tanks shall be registered with the Board of Selectmen. Registration of the tanks must be renewed every five (5) years. Testing for leaking of existing underground tanks shall be begun within six (6) months of the adoption of this ordinance; the oldest tanks shall be tested first. The cost of the testing shall be shared jointly by the landowner and by the Town. Any tanks that fail a test must be pumped out and replaced with an above ground tank. Testing shall be done at five (5) year intervals under guidelines established by the Board of Selectmen.

(2) Outdoor storage of road salts or other deicing chemicals is prohibited.

(3) Dumping of snow containing road salts or other deicing chemicals brought from outside the district is prohibited.

(4) Septage disposal sites or waste lagoons are prohibited.

(5) Solid waste disposal areas (landfill or dump) are prohibited; stump dumps may be permitted on a site approved by the Planning Board and by special exception from the Board of Adjustment.

(6) Storage (above or below ground), discharge or disposal of hazardous or toxic materials are prohibited except as permitted for agricultural use. (See paragraph F, (3).)

(7) Automotive service and repair shops, junk and salvage yards are prohibited.

(8) Earth removal where the excavation would substantially damage a known aquifer and/or the recharge area of an aquifer is prohibited. (See Article IX of this ordinance.)

F. Conflicting Provisions

Whenever the regulations made under the authority hereof differ from those described by any statute, ordinance, or other regulations that provision which imposes the greater restriction or the higher standard shall govern.

5. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #5 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amending Article VII pertaining to multi-family development by the deletion of the existing article and substituting an entirely new article which would permit multi-family development in any zone on a site approved by the Planning Board requiring a two acre per unit minimum lot density in the residential zone and the greater density now permitted in the commercial zone; also establishing standards, regulations, procedural and design requirements for such development?

Standards for multi-family development were drafted by the Planning Board in an attempt to bring apartment units into conformance with the standards for condominiums, cluster units, mobile home subdivision units. The article follows in its entirety.

ARTICLE VII

MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT

Purpose

The purpose of this article is to provide a flexible policy for quality multi-family development consistent with the rural character, health, safety, and welfare of the Town of Lee.

Definitions

- (1) Multi-family structure shall mean a residential structure containing two (2) or more units but no more than six (6) dwelling units.
- (2) Multi-family development complex shall mean two (2) or more multi-family structures placed on the same site.
- (3) Dwelling unit shall mean any area containing the amenities usually considered necessary for day to day living for one family unit. A dwelling unit need not be separated from another unit by an unbroken wall or have a

separate entrance but shall contain toilet and kitchen facilities.

(4) "In-law" unit shall mean a separate one bedroom unit which is contained within a single family residence on a conforming lot and is not intended for rental purposes. The primary residence is to be owner occupied.

Standards

Multi-family dwellings, including condominiums shall be permitted in all zones on sites approved by the Planning Board. Such developments must conform to all restrictions and regulations placed upon said zone elsewhere in this ordinance as well as regulations of the New Hampshire Department of Water Supply and Pollution Control and the Town of Lee Building Regulations. In addition, the multi-family development shall be subject to the Town of Lee site plan review regulations. In addition, the following standards shall apply

(1) In Zone C: Each multi-family dwelling shall contain a minimum of 85,000 square feet of land for the first unit and 25,000 square feet for each unit thereafter. There shall be a minimum of 250 feet of road frontage.

In Zone A, the minimum land area for a multi-family structure (not including an "in-law" unit) shall not be less than 85,000 square feet of land for each dwelling unit. Each residential structure shall not contain more than six (6) dwelling units. There shall be a minimum of fifty feet between residential structure including attached garages.

(2) Setback requirements shall conform to that required in that zone with the exception that in a multi-family development complex, front setback requirements shall be that for a commercial use in all zones.

(3) The minimum land area for a multi-family development complex shall be twenty (20) acres. The minimum frontage requirements shall be 250 feet.

(4) For a development complex, there shall be a minimum buffer zone of one hundred feet provided between any structure and the perimeter of the tract. For any multi-family structure, the buffer between the nearest residential lot line and any structure shall be at least equivalent to twenty (20) feet multiplied by the number of dwelling units.

Procedures

Proposals for multi-family structures or multi-family development complexes in any zone shall be submitted to the Planning Board. The Board shall review such plans in accordance with the Planning Board's duties under Site Plan Review. The Planning Board shall approve, approve with modifications, or deny the proposal as provided by statute. Any subsequent development not part of the approved plan shall constitute a violation of this ordinance.

In addition to the requirements outlined in the site plan review regulations, the following shall be required:

(1) Site plan shall show all proposed buildings, lighting, parking areas, signs and landscaping at a scale sufficient to permit the study of the elements of the plan;

(2) A plan and description of all proposed utilities, road and drainage;

(3) A plan showing the proposed fire pond or other protection as recommended by the fire chief;

(4) Typical elevations drawings and floor plans for all proposed buildings or other structures.

Regulations

(1) A minimum of two off-street parking spaces shall be provided for each dwelling unit.

(2) No building shall exceed in height thirty-five (35) feet or two and one half stories.

(3) A time table for completion of site improvements for any multi-family development complex shall be established. The town may require the necessary performance bond(s) to ensure compliance.

(4) All subdivision and Building Regulations for the Town of Lee, where applicable shall apply unless otherwise stated in this article.

(5) All waste water treatment shall be constructed in accordance with all Water Supply and Pollution Control Standards and those for the town.

(6) All streets and parking areas within a multi-family development complex shall conform to the road specifications for the Town of Lee where applicable, to Subdivision Regulations 4:15. In addition such streets and parking areas shall have only one exit/entrance onto any existing road. Accessory roads or ways within the complex will be private and shall be maintained by the property owner.

(7) Multi-family structures shall be permitted only one driveway access off any existing roadway.

(8) Any utilities, facilities, services, etc., shall be subject to inspection by the appropriate town officials or their designees. Any costs incurred shall be borne by the developer.

Design Requirements

In addition to the requirements of the Subdivision Regulations and the Site Plan Review Regulations, multi-family development complexes shall comply with the following design requirements:

(1) Within the development, uniform architectural styles which are compatible with the natural amenities and topography of the site shall be encouraged.

(2) Originality in the lot layout and architectural style will be encouraged to achieve the best possible relationship between development and the land.

(3) Individual lots, buildings, streets, and parking areas shall be designed and situated to minimize alternation of the site features to be preserved, to lessen the area devoted to motor vehicle access, to avoid adverse effects of noise, shadows, and traffic, and to retain aesthetic qualities.

(4) Open space areas shall include irreplaceable natural features located in the tract (such as, but not limited to stream beds, significant stands of trees, individual trees of significant size, rock outcroppings, and marshes). These should be distributed throughout the development as part of a design which will serve to unify the development visually and functionally and to buffer the development from surrounding land uses. No common open space shall be denuded, defaced, nor otherwise disturbed without prior written

approval of the Planning Board

(5) Open space intended for recreational or public use shall be easily accessible to pedestrians. Such open space areas shall be linked to residential areas by walkways which shall meet the needs of the elderly and handicapped.

(6) Natural surface drainage channels shall be either incorporated into the overall site design or shall be preserved as part of the required open space.

(7) The entire development shall be designed so no diminution in value of surrounding properties will occur.

General Provisions

Fees: The applicant shall be responsible for all costs incurred by the Town of Lee in review of plans.

Special Studies: The Planning Board may require special studies and/or additional information that it deems necessary to carry out the purposes of the multi-family provisions. Such studies shall be undertaken by the Town of Lee at the expense of the applicant.

Public Hearing: No multi-family development complex shall be approved or disapproved without first affording the applicant and abutters two public hearings thereon as provided for in the Subdivision Regulations.

6. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #6 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amend Article V Section B pertaining to the commercial zone to add:
Land coverage including buildings and parking shall not exceed twenty-five (25) percent of the total land area?

This amendment will protect groundwater by limiting the impervious covering of the soil and will enhance the rural character of commercial areas.

7. Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment #7 as proposed by the Lee Planning Board for the Lee Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amend by the deletion of Article XIV pertaining to the Zoning Board of Adjustment and amend Article II by deletion of the definitions for special exception and variance and substitute in Article II a definition for Zoning Board of Adjustment which details the authority of the Board pursuant to RSA 674:33 as well as the specific criteria to justify the granting of a variance or a special exception to the zoning ordinance?

This amendment is for clarification purposes and follows in its entirety.

Zoning Board of Adjustment: Pursuant to RSA 674:33, the Zoning Board of Adjustment shall have the power to:

(1) Hear and decide appeals if it is alleged there is an error in any order, requirements, decision, or determination made by an administrative official in the enforcement thereof or any ordinance adopted pursuant thereto.

(2) Authorize upon appeal in specific cases such variance from the terms of the zoning ordinance as will not be contrary to the public interest, if, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance will result in unnecessary hardship and so that the spirit of the ordinance shall be observed and substantial justice done.

(3) The Zoning Board of Adjustment, in appropriate cases and subject to appropriate conditions and safeguards may make special exceptions to the terms of the ordinance. All special exceptions shall be made in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning ordinance and shall be in accordance with the specified rules contained in the ordinance.

For the purposes of this ordinance, the following are established as general prerequisites for the granting of a special exception, subject to such further conditions as may be defined elsewhere herein. Special exceptions may be granted only for uses which are specifically mentioned in other sections of this ordinance.

(a) That the use will not be detrimental to the character or enjoyment of the neighborhood or to future development by reasons of undue variation from the kind and nature of other uses in the vicinity, or by reasons of obvious and adverse violation of the character or appearance of the neighborhood or cause diminution in the value of surrounding properties;

(b) That the use will not be injurious, noxious or offensive and thus detrimental to the neighborhood;

(c) That the use will not be contrary to the public health, safety, or welfare by reason of undue traffic congestion or hazards, undue risk of life and property, unsanitary or unhealthful emissions or waste disposal, or similar adverse causes or conditions;

(d) All non-residential and multi-family residential use are subject to site review by the Planning Board pursuant to RSA 674:43 and this ordinance. Written approval by the Planning Board of the applicant's site plans must be a condition of granting the special exception.

Each application to the Zoning Board of Adjustment for an exception to or variance from the Zoning Ordinance must be accompanied by an application fee sufficient to cover actual costs in connection with the required public hearings.

ARTICLE IV

OPERATING BUDGET

ARTICLE IV
OPERATING BUDGET

CATEGORY	APPROPRIATED 1985-86	REQUESTED 1986-87
Town Officers' salaries	\$ 30,700	\$ 32,100
Town Officers' expenses	36,300	43,100
Election & Registration	6,000	8,800
Town Hall & Other Buildings	10,900	11,700
Retirement & Social Security	17,700	21,000
Insurance	22,800	28,900
Property Appraisal	7,000	8,500
Legal Expenses	12,000	12,000
Contingency Fund	4,200	4,800
Interest, short term notes	2,000	2,000
Police Department	99,000	116,825
Fire Department	20,450	27,990
Highway Department	109,000	137,000
Transfer Station	11,600	17,900
Lamprey Regional Solid Waste	20,026	31,080
Building Inspector	2,400	10,000
Health Officer	200	200
Recreation Commission	1,000	1,300
Oyster River Youth Association	3,976	3,914
Civil Defense	100	100
Conservation Commission	50	50
Library	14,913	20,744
Town Poor	9,000	13,000
Old Age Assistance	6,000	0
Patriotic Purposes	400	400
Cemeteries	5,000	5,600
Dog care and damages	1,500	1,500
Planning and zoning	9,350	12,400
Bulk gas & Special duty	<u>16,000</u>	<u>18,000</u>
TOTALS	\$ 485,565	\$ 602,099

TOWN OF LEE LIBRARY FUND DRIVE REPORT

July 1, 1984 - June 30, 1985

Balance: 7/1/84	\$1,050.74
Receipts:	
Donations	100.00
NOW Account Interest	45.37
Rebate	<u>3.18</u>
	1,199.29
Expenditures:	
Furnishings and Equipment	\$ 408.86
Construction and Labor	37.50
Miscellaneous	<u>6.26</u>
	\$ 452.62
Balance: 6/30/85	\$ 746.67

TOWN OF LEE LIBRARY FINANCIAL REPORT 1984 - 1985

Trustees' Receipts:

Balance Forward	\$1,284.00
Town of Lee	5,273.14
Fines	73.59
Book Sales	50.00
Supply Sales	3.00
Town Meeting Refreshments	35.68
Durham Trust NOW interest	93.90
	<hr/>
	\$6,813.31

Trustees' Expenditures:

Books and Periodicals/Postage	\$3,672.40
Telephone	331.21
Furnishings and Equipment	731.00
Special Programs	100.00
NHLTA Dues, Trustee's Travel and Expenses ...	113.84
NHLA Dues, Librarian's Travel and Expenses	181.04
Library Supplies	116.06
Maintenance Supplies	116.65
PLT Courses	240.00
Miscellaneous	65.79
	<hr/>
	\$5,667.99

Trustees' Balance 6/30/85 \$1,145.32

Librarian's Receipts:

Balance Forward	\$ 161.45
Fines and Contributions	237.35
Out of Town Cards	8.00
Book Sales	1.00
Miscellaneous Receipts	31.29
	<hr/>
	\$ 439.09

Librarian's Expenditures	\$ 395.08
Librarian's Balance	\$ 44.01
Grand Total Receipts	\$7,252.40
Grand Total Expenditures	\$6,063.07
Combined Balance*	\$1,189.33

*\$225.07 of this amount is encumbered for the purchase of books.

REPORT OF THE TRUSTEES OF THE TRUST FUNDS

1984 – 1985 FISCAL YEAR

Purpose of Fund	PRINCIPLE		INTEREST			
	Balance 7/1/84	Funds created or expended	Balance 6/30/85	Balance 7/1/84	Income	Expended
Cemetery funds	\$ 39,742.62	\$ 1,575.00	\$41,317.62	\$24,210.66	\$ 6,292.51	\$ (3,493.84)
Solid waste	10,000.00	—0—	10,000.00	8,917.94	1,696.92	—0—
Fire equipment	—0—	10,000.00	10,000.00	9,985.99	941.74	—0—
Highway						
Equipment	25,000.00	(20,000.00)*	5,000.00	18,913.54	3,950.14	—0—
TOTALS	\$74,742.62	\$ (8,425.00)	\$66,317.62	\$62,028.13	\$12,881.31	\$ (3,493.84)
						\$71,415.60

*This figure represents the net activity in this fund since \$10,000 was put in and \$30,000 was expended.

Principle and Interest Totals:

Cemetery Funds	\$41,317.62 + 27,008.33 = \$ 68,326.45
Solid Waste	10,000.00 + 10,614.86 = 20,614.86
Fire Equipment	10,000.00 + 10,927.73 = 20,927.73
Highway Equipment	5,000.00 + 22,863.68 = 27,863.68
GRAND TOTALS	\$66,317.62 + 71,415.60 = \$137,733.22

This is to certify that the information in this report is complete to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Renata Dodge, *Chairman*
Cynthia M. Taylor
Trustees of the Trust Funds
Town of Lee

REPORT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Growth in Lee has become a problem for the Police Department as well as for other departments within the town. When the population of a community increases, the problems inherent with such growth increase proportionally. There are proposals for about 300 new family-living units to be constructed within the next 12 to 14 months. That will increase the number of living units in Lee about one-third. Included in the proposed expansion of the town is an increase in the retail outlets at the traffic circle.

With the decrease in federal funds due to Reaganomics, the number of funding programs and assistance programs to Law Enforcement have decreased. It was the intent of the federal government to shift the burden of assistance from the federal level to the state level. In New Hampshire, a state without broad base taxes, there is no state money to pick up training programs, enhanced motor vehicle enforcement and assistance for equipment. Thus in New Hampshire, we will be looking toward local revenues to replace the lost federal revenues. This, in conjunction with the rapid growth has created tremendous problems.

During the past year, the Supreme Court ruled in a case titled *Garcia vs. Tucson, Arizona*, that communities would be required to pay public service employees at a rate of time and one-half for overtime. This requirement has since been modified by Congress, allowing communities to give compensatory time in lieu of cash, but compensatory time must also be given at the rate of time and one-half. This legislation prevents the buildup of more than 460 hours of compensatory time for police, and all time accumulated at the time of severance must be paid as a cash settlement.

With *Garcia*, Reaganomics and rapid growth in mind, the department has proposed a budget this year that would allow for the transition of the agency from 16 hours of coverage a day and 8 hours of call out, to 24 hours of coverage per day. The transition would not be complete until April 1987, the time that planning estimates call for the proposed subdivisions to be completed. Twenty-four hour coverage would reduce call out and thus protect us from problems of *Garcia*, as well as expending coverage necessary for the rapid growth that we are experiencing here in Lee. There is an added problem in the requirement that officers reside within a 4.5 mile radius of the police station. This area includes all of Lee, some of Durham, Madbury, Barrington, Not-

tingham, Epping and Newmarket. The residency requirement is necessary because of response time and call back between midnight and 8 AM. We all realize that this is an expensive area to live in. Twenty-four hour coverage would eliminate the need for the residency requirement, as call out would not be necessary. Eliminating the residency requirement would make us more competitive at present wage rates in the employment market.

We hope that the townspeople will recognize that increased growth will require increasing the size of agencies, if the same level of service is to be extended. Rapid growth will continue for at least another year as the Planning Board has determined that present levels of growth can be supported by the present tax base. Recent New Hampshire court cases prevent growth control if Planning Boards reach that conclusion after study.

Following is a breakdown of activity for the period of July 1984 to June 1985. As you can see by reviewing the statistics below, the case load of the agency is increasing and the number of serious crimes and crimes against the person are also increasing.

Respectfully submitted,
Brian Burke
Chief of Police

STATISTICAL BREAKDOWN 1985

Felony Complaints

Rape	3
Arson	3
Mail Tampering	3
Theft/Fraud	12
Attempted Burglary	3
Burglary	27

Misdemeanor Complaints

Reckless Conduct	1
Criminal Threatening	9
Arson	2
Criminal Mischief	70
Theft/Fraud	83
Disorderly Conduct	1
Telephone Harassment	15
Operation After Suspension	2
Simple Assault	5
Criminal Trespass	9
Other Misdemeanors	5

Violations

Speed	187
Non-Inspection	11
Stop Sign	11
Passing Violations	3
Motor Vehicle License Offense	13
Unregistered	8
Disorderly Conduct	7
DWI — 1st	6
Failure to Yield	4
Unsafe Tires	3
Reckless Operation	5
Littering	3
Possession of Alcohol	6
All Other	7

General Service Calls

Abandoned/Disabled/Towed Motor Vehicles	26
Aid to Lee Fire Department	52
Aid to Other Municipal Agency	9
Aid to Other Police Department	103
Animal Complaints	116
Applicant Checks	26
Burglar Alarm Activations	17
Citizen Aid	128
Domestic Disturbances	23
Escorts	297
House Checks	84
Hunting/Firearms Complaints	6
Lost/Recovered Property	58
Medical Aid	56
Motorist Aid	70
Motor Vehicle Complaints	29
Noise Complaint	20
Unsecured Buildings	27
Untimely Death	3
Motor Vehicle Accidents	115
Pistol Permits	30
Suspicious Person	92

Adult Arrests

Criminal Trespass	1
Operation After Revocation	3
Reckless Conduct	1
Receiving Stolen Property	1
Burglary	2
Rape	1
Assault	2
Criminal Mischief	1
Issuing Bad Checks	7
DWI	10
Contributing to Delinquency of Minors	1

Juvenile Arrests

Protective Custody	6
Runaway	2
Unregistered OHRV	1
Reckless Conduct	1
Runaway	7
Disorderly Conduct	1
Conspiracy to Commit Theft	1
Wayward & Disobedient	1

Motor Vehicle Warnings

Speed	220
Stop Sign	6
Tire Violations	3
Non-inspection	3
Unregistered	2
Reckless/Erratic Operation	10
Littering	3
Motor Vehicle License Offense	11
Failure to Yield	11
DWI Checkups	3
Passing Violations	10
Failure to Dim Headlights	1
Checkups	63
Defective Equipment	46
Parking Complaints/Tickets	40
Other	10

PLANNING BOARD ANNUAL REPORT—1985

The Planning Board received and reviewed a record number of applications for development in 1985. Sixteen applications for subdivision or resubdivision were approved creating a total of 68 new building lots in Lee. Wheelwright Park, a proposal by Cheney East Corporation was approved in January which created seventeen lots in Lee's first mobile home subdivision on Route 125. Other major subdivisions were approved on Route 152, on Route 155 and Tuttle Road and in the High Road area. At the end of 1985 applications had been received for nine additional subdivisions which, if approved, will create 137 additional building lots in 1986. Two of these proposals are for cluster residential development, a concept which was adopted by the voters in 1983 to permit reduced lot sizes and retain open space.

Site reviews and evaluations were conducted of all active Earth Removal Operations by the Planning Board. Excavation permits were granted for limited time periods to Ken Miller on Garrity Road and to Ken Morin on Route 125.

Site approval was granted for Merri-Lee Day Care on Pinkham Road, the only new commercial business which was created in Lee in 1985. Site approval was also granted for the expansion of Fogarty Lumber Company. Three Site Plan Review applications were pending before the Planning Board at the end of 1985 all for multifamily apartment units. If approved, this would create a total of 154 new apartment units in Lee. 96 of these units are part of the proposed commercial and residential mixed complex which has been proposed for the 79 acres located at the Lee Traffic Circle/liquor store area.

In addition, Planning Board members reviewed soil tests for thirty-nine lots of record and approved a boundary line adjustment on Route 155.

A final draft of the Capital Improvements Plan for the next six years was presented to the Selectmen in September. This will be incorporated in the 1986 Master Plan Revision which is near completion and should be ready for public hearing in early 1986.

Planning Board members also prepared fourteen zoning amendments and nine amendments to the Lee Building Codes. Six zoning amendments were adopted by voters at a special town meeting in October; eight will be presented on the March Town Meeting Ballot. In October, voters adopted a sign ordinance. Amendments proposed for March include a proposed Commercial Zone expansion south on Route 125 (which was presented by resident petition); creation of an aquifer protection ordinance; and a multi-family development ordinance as well as several others.

Board members extend their appreciation to Russell Valentine who served on the Lee Planning Board for eight years and Natalie Allan, a board member since 1978. Both members resigned their positions in 1985 but have remained active in town affairs by assisting on special committees. The Planning Board welcomes two new members: Gil Priestly of Mitchell Road and John McLean of Turtle Pond Road.

ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT ANNUAL REPORT — 1985

The Zoning Board of Adjustment held public hearings on fourteen applications in 1985.

Special exceptions were granted, after review by the Lee Conservation Commission, to Beech Hill Corporation and to Inland Acres to permit the construction of subdivision roads over the Wetlands Conservation Zone on property located on Route 152 and on Route 155 and Tuttle Road.

A special exception was granted to Farrell, Martin and Pulitzer to permit the development of 28 apartment units on Route 125 near the Epping Town Line in the Residential Zone. Two public hearings were held and special exceptions granted twice to permit expansion of Fogarty Lumber Company on Route 125 by the addition of an office and lumber drying area.

A dimensional variance was granted to Don Albro to permit a lot line adjustment on property on Granger Drive. A setback variance was granted to James Stoakes for a garage addition on Lee Hook Road. A dimensional variance was granted to Helen Sills to permit a State directional sign on Route 125 pertaining to an antiques shop on Bennett Road.

Applications for special exception was also approved for the expansion of Hills Acres Mobile Home Park in North Lee.

Variance applications to permit the expansion of Evergreen Terrace by the addition of a mobile home site was denied as well as application from Otto Leighton for mobile home placement on Route 125.

Application was heard and denied to Norman Michaels for a condominium development on eight acres off Route 155 on Little River Road and to James Allen for a frontage variance on Mast Road.

The Board of Adjustment welcomed Natalie Allan who was appointed as an alternate in November.

1985 REPORT LEE CONSERVATION COMMISSION

The Conservation Commission members continue working with the Planning Board in the reviewing of site plans of developments being proposed in Town. We appreciate the close working relationship with the other Town boards, especially alerting us to the possible dredge and fill permits needed by the developers. It also allows the Commission to be aware of wildlife habitat changes, firepond siting and shoreline development.

We have continued working with the Selectmen on the problems of the Recycling Center. A survey has been made and with the help of the Soil Cons. Service a plan to eliminate the hazardous slope has been developed. A dredge & fill permit was obtained as the project is filling more of the nearby wetland. Surface water below the dump has been tested for many chemicals and no hazards were found. This water enters Wheelwright Pond. Sewage disposal will be eliminated by transporting it to the Durham plant. The fire pond below the dump was cleared of a great accumulation of debris by Ethan and Jessica Gilsdorf and Commission members.

The Commission will bring the purchase of the Durgin Property on Wheelwright Pond to the Town Meeting. This property consists of 21 acres with 850 ft. frontage on the Pond and is adjacent to the Town Forest. Lee is one of the few towns to be accepted for Federal Cost sharing on a project.

There have been meetings with interested land owners on Conservation Easements and more are being planned. We feel this is one way that open space and the preservation of fields and forest land can be realized. We are presently working with the University on an easement along the Burley-Demeritt and Dudley Farms they would protect 1½ miles of river frontage.

We continue working with the Mast Way school on Outdoor Education. We also work with interested landowners in wildlife planning of their property. We have also been involved in the Aquifer Zoning proposal.

David Allan, Chairman
Lee Conservation Commission

1985 LEE CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Treasurer's Report June 30, 1985

Balance on Hand 12/31/84	\$14,694.91
Dues: New Hampshire Association	
of Conservation Commissions	(95.00)
E. Patricia Gary, land appraisal	(50.00)
Peter Parker, supplies for	
improvements on James Farm Trail	(48.03)
Interest - Money market account,	
12/31/84-6/28/85	<u>570.81</u>
Balance on Hand 6/28/85	\$15,072.69

Respectfully submitted,
Jeannette Roberts, *Treasurer*

REPORT OF THE LAMPREY REGIONAL SOLID WASTE COOPERATIVE

The Directors of the Lamprey Regional Solid Waste Cooperative are pleased to report that the incinerator/energy recovery plant located on the University of New Hampshire campus is operating on a continuous twenty-four hour, seven day a week schedule.

The day-to-day operation is carried out under the supervision of the Cooperative's Administrator, under the general supervisory control of the three member Operations Committee from the Joint Board of Directors. The plant personnel, in addition to the administrator, includes two mechanics, a truck driver, two daily shifts of 12 hours each involving 8 persons, plus a daily clean-up crew and the secretary. This organization operates the incinerator system, maintains records, and coordinates with the University's Power Plant staff to monitor the boiler and steam production elements of the plant. The Cooperative's organization also handles the collection of refuse from the transfer stations of five communities, and handles the ash removal and its transfer to the landfill site.

During 1985 the Cooperative purchased a new Mack chassis with roll-on capability to handle the ash containers and the Canisters from the five communities with transfer stations.

The decline in the price of oil during the past year was of course welcomed by home owners and business operators, however, the price drop significantly reduced the income of the Cooperative for the sale of steam to the University, as the revenue from steam is controlled by the price of fuel oil. Some unexpected maintenance items coupled with the plant being shut down for two weeks during which the University had the area between the plant and the recently relocated fire station regraded and paved also reduced the Cooperative's revenue from the sale of steam.

The rubbish from member communities increased by 9.375% in 1985 over that of 1984.

A major problem to be resolved during 1986 will be to locate an approved site for ash disposal. The regulatory agencies have rather arbitrarily taken the position that the ash must be disposed of within a secure landfill.

Rance G. Collins
Chairman, Joint Board of Directors

REPORT OF THE DURHAM AMBULANCE CORPS

The Durham Ambulance Corps, founded in 1968 in memory of Dr. George C. McGregor, provides *emergency* medical and ambulance services to the communities of Durham, Lee, Madbury and to the University of New Hampshire Durham campus. These emergency services are provided free of charge to residents of these communities, and to their guests.

Corps members are volunteers, who donate their time to provide professional emergency medical care and to standby in cases of possible emergency. As well as the many hours this requires, our members also volunteer their services to tend to the administrative, clerical, and maintenance duties that are necessary for day-to-day operations. This includes maintaining close communications with cooperating and concerned area agencies. Our members attend numerous district and regional meetings, communication center meetings, area trauma critiques, and other related meetings and events, in addition to our own business and training meetings.

Each corps member devotes a considerable number of hours to training, in order to maintain our high standard of patient care. DAC members are encouraged to upgrade their training; although the State of New Hampshire merely requires a 40-60 hour Advanced First Aid course for licensure, all of the 26 current DAC members have at least EMT-A training (120 hours) as well. Most have advanced training, such as Advanced Patient Assessment, use of MAST (Medical Anti-Shock Trousers), EOA (Esophagal Obturator Airway), etc. Six have gone on to become Paramedics, and we now have a seventh DAC member enrolled in the 2-year, 1500 hour Paramedic Program at New Hampshire Technical Institute.

We moved into new quarters in February—both ambulances are finally under one roof! Our members spent countless hours building walls, installing a kitchen, and learning the peculiarities of drywall and paint. To pay for this, it was necessary to spend our reserve fund of \$6,800; we are most grateful to the Town of Durham for its support, and to area merchants who gave us

donations, discounts, and endured our questions. The new station has already proved to be an enormous asset. Not only are both ambulances stored together, vastly simplifying maintenance and logistics, but also, having an office of our own has streamlined and organized our administrative processes. Finally, we have a meeting room that provides a central place to gather for meetings, practice, and training, and where our members can study or work. This means that the chances of responding instantly to a call are much greater; people are more apt to be at the station already. Overall, the station has been of incalculable value in building *esprit de corps*.

The station is, however, yet unfinished. There is a lot of interior work still to be done such as installing a suspended ceiling. Right now we look up and see fiberglass stuffed between rafters. If you are interested in seeing the new station, or helping out, we'd love to show it to you. The building is kept locked, but arrangements can be made by calling our business number, 862-3674. (Please do not use the old numbers, 862-1426 or 868-5531). You may reach an answering machine instead of a member, but the machine is checked daily by an officer of the Corps. In case of emergency you should still dial 862-1212 from Durham or Madbury (1212 on the UNH phone system) or 868-2400 from Lee.

In October, the Corps launched a capital fund drive in anticipation of replacing the 1980 Ford Van Ambulance (A1). The national life expectancy of a front line ambulance is only five years, and A1 has begun to show signs of aging. The anticipated cost of a new vehicle, depending on design and without the majority of equipment, is between \$35,000 and \$50,000. So far, the fund drive has yielded over \$7200 towards this goal. If the amount we will have raised by the time we need a new ambulance, plus the trade-in value of the old ambulance is insufficient, we will request appropriations on the basis of percent usage by each community we serve.

The volume of calls handled by the DAC has dramatically increased over the years, from only 480 in 1975 to 678 in 1985. Other demands on our volunteers have likewise increased; the growing professionalism of pre-hospital emergency care demands far more training and recertification than was required ten years ago. We are very fortunate to have EMT classes offered on a

regular basis at UNH; these courses are open to non-students. Traditionally, our membership has been bolstered by University students, whose flexible schedules help us to maintain coverage during working hours; but our mainstay has always been permanent residents. We are always looking for interested, qualified citizens to join our organization.

As the field of Emergency Medical Services grows, and the area population increases, the DAC and citizens need to begin thinking about our role, and plans for the future. The level of care we offer requires considerable training. Response time is limited by volunteers having to drop what they are doing at home or work, and rush to the station. As a general policy we can only provide *emergency* transport to area hospitals. The cost of a volunteer network is very low, but depends on a small number of people devoting a lot of time. We could offer dramatically improved services (for instance, Paramedic service), but we will not be permitted to unless we can guarantee definitive hours for those services. As a volunteer service, such guarantees are unrealistic. Should we create full or part-time paid positions? We all, DAC, citizens, town governments, and the EMS network, need to consider these factors and direct our future plans.

Finally, we wish to encourage all citizens to complete a CPR (Cardio-pulmonary resuscitation) course as given by the American Heart Association or the American Red Cross. Bystander CPR has proven to be a crucial factor in cardiac arrest cases, stabilizing the victim until those with more advanced training can arrive. CPR courses probably represent the best investment you can make; CPR really does save lives. Several members of the DAC are CPR instructors; call us or the American Red Cross. We can also offer the use of practice dummies to keep up your skills. Please learn CPR—it enables you to help your friends and neighbors, and helps us to better serve the community.

Patrick D. Ahearn, *President*
Claire Curtis, *Operations V.P.*
Loretta B. Chase, *Administrative V.P.*
Laura Decoster, *Training Coordinator*
Scott Ellis, *Secretary*
Lorelei Gilman, *Treasurer*

REPORT OF THE BUILDING INSPECTOR - 1985

This year was a record setting year in terms of the number of building permits issued for new construction and alterations to present structures. Over all, the building permits that were issued for conversions and new construction will add one hundred thirty-six (136) new housing units to the housing inventory. In the last two years there has been a significant increase in the building of multi-family structures (both apartment buildings and condominiums) in town as well as a dramatic increase in the number of single family homes being built in town. Another indication of the growth of the town is the number of permits issued for the construction of duplexes.

A grand total of 112 building permits were issued in 1985. A breakdown of the type of construction for those permits follows:

Category	Number	Estimated Cost of Construction
Single family homes	57	\$4,074,300
Duplexes	15	1,329,000
Multi-family	2	500,000
Condominiums	3	700,000
Outbuildings	11	122,000
Renovations	8	75,500
Additions	10	137,900
Conversions	4	136,000
Swimming Pools	1	12,000
Commercial	1	35,000
TOTALS	112	\$7,121,700

Respectfully submitted,
Gerald Preston
Building Inspector

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE HEALTH OFFICER

I received several complaints for malfunctioning and/or failed septic systems. Each complaint was investigated and the property owner notified that corrections to the system had to be completed. Most of the complaints concerning septic systems have been for apartment buildings that are twenty years old and older. Most of these buildings are located on small lots since they pre-date zoning and frequently there is little or no room for replacement systems; repairs and replacement when feasible tend to be costly and time consuming. Tenants who rent the apartments should be aware that the process for correcting the problem is not something that can be accomplished overnight and is something that can take more than a month. Therefore, it would help if I were notified of the problem as soon as it begins. This would help me do my job and would lead to a quicker solution to the problem.

Also, as part of my duties as the health officer, I have inspected new septic systems as they are being installed. The State of New Hampshire also inspects new systems to insure that the installation of the systems is completed to the design specifications.

Finally, I investigated a complaint concerning the proper disposal of dead farm animals. Following my investigation, steps were taken that have corrected the problem to everyone's satisfaction.

Respectfully submitted,
Gerald Preston
Health Officer

LEE RECREATION COMMISSION

The Lee Recreation Commission continues to sponsor several fitness activities for town residents. Exerstretch and aerobics for women had a full attendance of 25-30 mornings at the Lee Church. Also held at the Lee Church, Kindercise classes in fall and winter had 20-24 preschoolers attending. A six week Hatha Yoga class was held in October and November at Mast Way School gym; also a Tai Chi Clinic in December and January. Muscles in Motion, a new fitness workout for elementary school students, has a large attendance of 30 this January. Volleyball will be a new recreation and fitness activity for men and women coming this spring. Minimal registration fees are charged for most classes and all activities are self supporting.

Basketball for men continues Tuesday and Thursday evenings at Mast Way School gym, a most popular activity during winter months.

A Halloween Party/Ice Cream Smorgasborg was held October 25, 1985 at Mast Way School in cooperation with the Mast Way Home School Committee. Over 200 children attended in costume. Storytelling, a cake walk, craft table, prizes and gallons of local ice cream were the highlights of the party. Adults as well as children enjoyed the activities.

The backstop at Lee Town Park was repaired. Work on the bleachers has started. Flowers were planted by the flag this summer. Cub Scouts assits in spring clean up at Town Park.

Donna Bucar
Chairperson
Lee Recreation Commission

REPORT OF THE STRAFFORD REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

The Strafford Regional Planning Commission (SRPC) provides professional planning services to member communities in both Strafford and Rockingham Counties. SRPC staff expertise is primarily focused in four areas: transportation planning, environmental assessment, community/economic development and land use planning. Technical assistance is also provided in solid waste management, federal grant preparation assistance and local zoning and site review regulations.

This year SRPC completed a comprehensive groundwater study of the Strafford region which will assist communities in their efforts to protect regional groundwater supplies. The Commission also prepared a septage management plan which will assist municipalities in SRPC's jurisdiction in complying with state law mandating that each city and town have a fifteen year plan for the disposal of waste from septic systems.

SRPC continues to plan for an efficient regional transportation network through its contract work with the New Hampshire Department of Public Works and Highways and the Cooperative Alliance for Seacoast Transportation (COAST) mass transit system. SRPC, in cooperation with these groups, has identified a number of highway and mass transit needs and is working on developing methods to finance these improvements.

In 1985, SRPC conducted a corridor analysis of New Hampshire Routes 125 and 4. This study examined commercial development patterns and made recommendations concerning the expansion of the commercial zone along these routes. SRPC also reviewed present town zoning ordinances and recommended changes based on study findings.

The Planning Commission also reviewed and recommended modifications to Lee's Capital Improvements Program and worked with town officials in formulating town aquifer protection mechanisms.

As an example of regional cooperation, SRPC worked with Lee to develop groundwater protection measures for Durham's town well, currently under construction in Lee.

REPORT OF THE NEWMARKET REGIONAL HEALTH CENTER

The Newmarket Regional Health Center will complete its fifteenth year of service in the Spring of 1986. The Newmarket Regional Health Center operates two medical offices, one in Newmarket and the other in Raymond, the Lamprey River Clinic. Both facilities provide general medical care, preventive health services, community outreach, social services and short-term counseling with referral to area mental health agencies.

In January the new facility of the Lamprey River Clinic opened on Route 27 in Raymond. The new 6,200 sq. ft. facility will allow the Health Center to provide more services in a spacious and modern facility to the residents of the Raymond area. The renovation and expansion of the Newmarket center is scheduled to begin in the Spring of 1986.

In 1985, Edward Benoit, M.D., a family practitioner, joined the staff as did Cynthia Rasmussen, M.D., an obstetrician/gynecologist. Other members of the medical staff include Sarah Oxnard, M.D., Karen Brainard, M.D., Michael Lewis, PA-C., Barbara Janeway, M.S.N., A.R.N.P. and Anne Fawcett, A.R.N.P. The medical team also includes registered nurses, medical assistants, and community health workers. The Health Center is affiliated with the Exeter Hospital where patients needing hospital care are admitted.

The Health Center offers a Prenatal Program under the direction of Dr. Rasmussen, which includes prenatal, delivery and postpartum care. In addition, the Health Center offers nutritional counseling and prenatal classes.

The community health workers coordinate a school health program and preventive screenings clinics to detect potential health hazards. They hold informative workshops and act as liaisons between the medical providers and patients.

The Newmarket Regional Health Center also operates a Self-Care Program for the Elderly in conjunction with the Occupational Therapy Department of the University of New Hampshire.

The purpose of this program is to enable the elderly to stay in their home setting as well as to maintain a quality of life and independent status within their community. The focus of the program is to provide self-care skills before disability occurs and to anticipate problems with functional activities. Contact Anne Fawcett A.R.N.P. or Noreen Ernest, Community Health Worker at 1-800-582-7279 for more information.

The Newmarket Regional Health Center continues to operate the Senior Citizen Transportation Program. Three of the five buses are equipped with hydraulic lifts to provide services to the handicapped. The transportation service enables senior citizens to remain independent, self-sufficient and active by providing the means to needed services, including medical, food shopping and recreational trips. For further information call 659-2424 or toll free 1-800-582-7279.

The Newmarket Regional Health Center wishes to express its deepest appreciation for the support of the town of Lee.

Ann H. Peters
Executive Director

REPORT OF THE OYSTER RIVER HOME HEALTH ASSOCIATION NOW SQUAMSCOTT HOME HEALTH

Telephone 742-7921 868-5055

Squamscott Home Health is a Medicare certified voluntary, non-profit home and community health agency resulting from a merger of the Oyster River Home Health Association and the Dover District Nursing Association. The agencies have been providing home health and clinic services in Dover since 1909 and in Durham, Lee, Madbury, and Newmarket since 1967. The primary purpose for merging the two agencies into one organization is to enable the expansion and extension of traditional services and the addition of such other services as are deemed necessary and fiscally feasible.

HOME CARE PROGRAM

Home care services include nursing; physical, occupational and speech therapy; and homemaker/home health aide as prescribed and approved by the patient's physician. The goal of the program is to allow individuals to receive care in the comfort and privacy of their own homes. In many instances such service is covered by Medicare, Medicaid, and other private insurances. In cases of medical necessity when there is no insurance coverage, fees are covered through private pay when able or the use of town funding, United Way support, and private donations.

MATERNAL CHILD HEALTH PROGRAM

Well child clinics are held regularly providing physical examinations, immunizations, testing and dental care aimed at the promotion of good health and early detection of problems. This comprehensive program for lower income families is available to children from birth to entering first grade.

HEALTH PROMOTION PROGRAMS

Activities aimed at the promotion of good health are provided through the conduction of screening and health education sessions for adults in a variety of settings. The availability of public financial support directly affects the agency's ability to conduct these free public health services.

Monies received from the towns are used to cover: home visits to individuals without insurance and unable to pay any or all of the fee, approximately fifty percent of the cost of the Maternal and Child Health program, and the health promotion activities in each community.

STATISTICAL SUMMARY

JULY 1, 1984 to JUNE 30, 1985

UNITS OF SERVICE

HOME CARE PROGRAM

	R.N.	P.T.	S.T.	O.T.	AIDE	TTL.
DURHAM	664	311	6	13	308	1302
LEE	225	58	0	0	175	458
MADBURY	76	3	0	0	9	88
NEWMARKET	989	438	53	27	629	2136
TOTALS	1954	810	59	40	1121	3984

UNDUPLICATED CENSUS

Durham - 63

Lee - 16

Madbury - 13

Newmarket - 79

171 Patients

MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH PROGRAM

	HOME VISITS	WELL CHILD CLINIC APPTS.	CHILD DENTAL CLINIC APPTS.	TTLS.
DURHAM	34	62	9	105
LEE	40	57	10	107
MADBURY	10	32	8	50
NEWMARKET	132	300	43	475
TOTALS	216	451	70	737

UNDUPLICATED CENSUS

Durham - 36

Lee - 35

Madbury - 20

Newmarket - 116

207 Children

Health Promotion Activities

Community Blood Pressure Screening — 183 people seen at 12 clinics

Health Screening at Bagdad Woods — 223 people seen at 12 clinics

Silver Squares Blood Pressure Screening — 167 people seen at 9 clinics

FINANCIAL STATEMENT

	BUDGET 1984 - 85	ACTUAL 1984 - 85	PROJECTED BUDGET 1985 - 86
REVENUE			
Patient Services	\$123,345.00	\$125,264.38	\$132,420.00
Less Free Care	-6,000.00	-6,441.01	-7,000.00
Net Patient Services	115,995.00	118,822.99	125,420.00
Town Grants	16,187.00	17,352.50	18,578.00
Maternal & Child Health Grant	9,440.00	9,484.00	12,424.00
Donations & Memorials	4,165.00	6,830.50	4,050.00
United Way of Strafford County	5,000.00	5,150.00	5,500.00
Interest & Miscellaneous	1,815.00	1,751.51	1,350.00
	<u>\$152,602.00</u>	<u>\$159,391.50</u>	<u>\$167,322.00</u>
EXPENDITURES			
Personnel Expenses			
Salaries	\$109,300.00	\$110,382.66	\$120,128.00
F.I.C.A.	7,400.00	7,756.36	8,483.00
Travel	5,350.00	6,039.03	5,750.00
Education	650.00	552.95	650.00
Insurance	4,516.00	4,434.15	4,918.00
Contract Employees	10,365.00	7,903.55	6,974.00
Space Occupancy	6,095.00	5,936.32	6,295.00
Other General Operating Expenses	8,926.00	10,294.12	11,414.00
	<u>\$152,602.00</u>	<u>\$153,326.14</u>	<u>\$164,612.00</u>

VITAL STATISTICS

MARRIAGES
REGISTERED IN THE TOWN OF LEE
FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER, 1985

Date of Marriage	Name & Surname of Bride & Groom	Residence at Time of Marriage
January		
12	Mark A. Hewitt	Lee
	Mae B. Keator	Lee
February		
16	Edward J. LaCoursiere	Lee
	Leslie J. McRobie	Lee
March		
16	Allan B. Tuttle	Lee
	Bonnie L. Bertrand	Rollinsford
April		
12	George E. Brown	Center Strafford
	Bonnie L. Mantos	Lee
May		
4	David M. Jenkins	Lee
	Marie J. Franklin	Lee
4	Robert L. Keniston III	Lee
	Juno A. Naglie	Lee
4	Francis W. Pond	Lee
	Barbara E. Fownes	Nottingham
5	David E. Burroughs	Epping
	Kristen K. Weyrick	Lee
10	Roger L. Lucas	Lee
	Zina D. White	Lee
18	Warren F. Hatch	Lee
	Doris L. Horne	Lee
18	Mark S. Donovan	Lee
	Lisa G. Tarantino	Lee
25	Michael J. Thompson	Spencerport, N.Y.
	Lisa R. Comeau	Lee
29	Avran G. Primack	Lee
	Julie R. Bath	Lee
30	Robert O. Kelley Jr.	Lee
	Marion R. Blair	Lee
June		
3	Roger D. Lewis	Lee
	Melissa M. Henderson	Raymond

15	William F. Ottley Jr.	Lee
	Laura L. Stevens	Lee
15	James K. Guimond	Dover
	Jennifer L. Levesque	Lee
28	Clinton M. Mills	Lee
	Tammy J. Brady	Lee
29	George C. Brown	Lee
	Marieanne T. Palmer	Lee
29	Wes D. Andrews	Irricana, Canada
	Susan L. Sanborn	Lee
29	Phillip D. Bourgelais	Lee
	Stacey L. Martin	Lee
July		
7	David J. LaRoche	Lee
	Karen D. Kunkle	Lee
20	James C. Bowles	Tamworth
	Diane L. Kelsey	Lee
27	Leslie J. Jewell Jr.	Lee
	Dianne L. Jabre	Lee
August		
31	Thomas F. Johnson	Lee
	Cameron D. Butler	Lee
September		
7	Michael D. Schanck	Sandown
	Julie A. Buckless	Lee
22	Christopher J. Howard	Lee
	Ellen M. Hume	Kingston
28	Timothy E. Doyal	Lee
	Robin C. Martikke	Brookfield
October		
4	Scott B. Walters	Lee
	Penny D. La Branche	Exeter
November		
1	Bryce B. Gohlke	Portsmouth
	Diane L. Newman	Lee
2	Joseph G. Fucci	Holbrook, Mass.
	LaVern E. Crotty	Lee
9	James A. Garrity	Lee
	Carol A. Boorum	East Providence, RI
15	Warren C. Seavey	Lee
	Linda E. Bisson	Kingston

December

7	David P. Pevear	Lee
	Cheryl M. Durepo	Lee
7	Mark T. Bishop	Lee
	Andrea M. Zielinski	Lee
20	Morgan D. Mills	Lee
	Viengsamay- Nachampassak	Newmarket
21	William P. Power	Lee
	Brenda E. Nye	Lee
24	Paul T. Gregoire	Lee
	Millicent G. Gregoire	Lee
28	Paul T. Nadell	Sacramento, CA
	Sheryl L. Clark	Alameda, CA

BIRTHS RECORDED IN THE TOWN OF LEE, N.H. FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER, 1985

Date	Place of Birth	Name of Child	Name of Father	Name of Mother
01/10/85	Exeter	Bethann marie Pascale	Nicholas William Pascale	Elena Marie DeAngelis
02/09/85	Dover	Amanda Marie Keravich	Randy Lawrence Keravich	Kim Loren Fogg
02/10/85	Exeter	Joshua John Goodwin	Frederick William Goodwin	Kathleen Marie DiGiuseppe
02/11/85	Dover	Amanda Lynn Desroches	Michael Paul Desroches	Deborah Anne Horne
02/12/85	Dover	Nichole Elise LeBarge	Michael Francis LeBarge	Sheila Marie Cowan
02/16/85	Concord	Ross Hollis Beane	L. Kevin Arthur Beane	Carol Nancy Hollis
02/16/85	Portsmouth	Anna Corinne Boisvert	Steven Alan Boisvert	Irene Marie Levesque
03/12/85	Dover	Abigail Celestine Johnson	Stephen Francis Johnson	Deborah Anne Shackford
03/29/85	Exeter	Tucker Theodore Haendler	Steven Andrew Haendler	Patricia Ann Huntley
04/13/85	Exeter	Laura Erin Horton	Thomas Edward Horton	Nancy Alice Davainis
04/17/85	Rochester	Michael Scot Scherer	Michael Joseph Scherer	Laura Ann Walker
04/22/85	Concord	Clark William Rundles Stevens	Patrick Edward Stevens	Janice Kerr Rundles
05/18/85	Lee	Dayyan Jordan Armstrong	Randal Dane Armstrong	Melinda Jan Kaplan
05/24/85	Portsmouth	Christopher Allen Walmsley	Stephen George Walmsley	Rhonda Lee Curtner
05/30/85	Burlington, MA	Paul Michael Currie Jr.	Paul Michael Currie	Karen Jeanne Coburn
06/23/85	Dover	Alex Anthony Brown	Wallace Herbert Brown Jr.	Jeanna Maguerite Evangelista
06/28/85	Dover	Laura Beth Sturman	Andrew Clark Sturman	Jinette Marie Martel
07/13/85	Exeter	Kathryn Elizabeth Kimball	Wesley Frank Kimball	Deborah Ann Watson
07/14/85	Exeter	Eric Tappan Reinhold	Barry Bennett Reinhold	Claire Ann Tappen
07/31/85	Dover	Nathan—Daskal	Yehuda—Daskal	Amy—Rous
07/31/85	Exeter	Kenneth Ronald Rawson II	Kenneth Ronald Rawson	Teresa Marie Braley
08/16/85	Dover	Nathan Lyon Bradbury	Richard Arthur Bradbury	Happy Lyon Benson
08/22/85	Rochester	Amanda Farnum Jennison	Charles Douglas Jennison	Anne Richardson Sylvester
09/05/85	Exeter	Jennifer Corinne Stafford	David Francis Stafford	Maureen Benadette Jodoin
09/12/85	Exeter	Lauren Elizabeth Foxall	Thomas Lee Foxall	Phyllis Louise John

09/18/85	Manchester	John Cole Farrell	John Hinton Farrell	Julianne—Hafner
09/18/85	Dover	Dennis Allen Lucas	Roger Lee Lucas	Zina Denise White
09/26/85	Dover	Mark Cody Mills	Clinton Mark Mills	Tammy Jean Brady
09/26/85	Dover	Richard Robert Crotty	Richard Robert Cotty	Naomi Joyce Tillinghast
10/01/85	Dover	Gabriel Alan Winslow-Yost	Israel Jonathan Yost	Deborah—Winslow
10/11/85	Dover	Christopher Dwight Gibb	Richard Allen Gibb	Catherine Ann Duffy
10/17/85	Lee	Nathaniel MacLean Jenkins	David MacLean Jenkins	Mary Josephine Franklin
10/20/85	Portsmouth	Robert John Barton III	Robert John Barton Jr.	Mary Frances Crotty
10/25/85	Rochester	Kristen Patricia Warren	Steven Deane Warren	Nancy Lee Hildebrandt
11/18/85	Exeter	Daniel Bell Brothwell	John Baker Brothwell	Barbara Ann Bell
11/20/85	Exeter	Lora Bale Brink	Thomas Henry Brink	Nancy Jane Landers
12/10/85	Exeter	Lee Hammett Lohrmann III	Lee Hammett Lohrmann Jr.	Carol Ann Leininger
12/19/85	Danvers, MA	Joshua James Small	James Kenneth Small	Brenda Marie LeBlanc
12/27/85	Exeter	Joshua Michael Chase	Michael Howard Chase	Diane Iona Koos

DEATHS REGISTERED IN THE TOWN OF LEE, N.H. FOR THE YEAR ENDING, 1985

Date	Name	Place of Birth	Name of Father	Name of Mother
04/26/85	Joseph B. Moriarty	Dover	Maurice Arthur Moriarty	Angelina Bertha Corcoran
05/05/85	Ruth Bixby Howarth	Dover	Warren Bixby	Marion Furness
05/15/85	Hannah S. Chadbourn	Exeter	Joel F. Sheppard	Frances Butler
06/15/85	Elmer Kenneth Fogg	Exeter	David Fogg	Artha Sherburne
09/05/85	Conor Fennessey	Concord	Kevin G. Fennessey	Janet Miniot
09/27/85	Michele C. McManus	Manchester	Frank J. McManus	Constance L. Guay
09/30/85	Marion E. Boudreau	Lee	Albert Quimby	Laura Eaton
11/22/85	Charles A. Hathaway	Dover	George G. Hathaway	Marie Jarnigan
12/02/85	Elizabeth Thelma Ashworth	Portsmouth	Shirley Francis Strupp	Mary Eliza Waugh

1985
PROPERTY
VALUATIONS

2/10/86 C L115NH-NH710 J

LEE, NH

OWNER	PROPERTY	LOCATION	AREA	LAND ?	VALUE	BUILDING VALUE	TOTAL VALUE
BANKS JAMES & RERYLE							
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	125.92		104,800*	82,000	186,800
BARNES JAMES		EVERGREEN TER				26,900	82,900
BARNES JAMES		WEDGILL ROAD	7.00			62,400	89,400
BARNES JAMES		CARTLAND ROAD	20.42			39,400	69,800
BARNES JAMES		CARTLAND HILL ROAD	20.42			32,200	62,600
BARNES JAMES		RT 112	1.00			11,500	23,700
BARNES JAMES		RT 112	1.00			11,500	23,700
BARNES JAMES		NEWTON PLAINS ROAD	1.43			12,800	25,200
BARNES JAMES		LAMPREY LN	2.00			14,600	31,600
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	3.55			16,100	41,600
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	25.70			4,800	46,400
BARNES JAMES		OFF RIE 152	99.10			39,200	104,900
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	10.49			23,800	23,800
BARNES JAMES		OFF NEWTON PLAINS R	1.13			14,300	15,400
BARNES JAMES		CAMPBELL RD	1.13			10,700	11,800
BARNES JAMES		WEDNESDAY HILL RD	2.78			11,200	12,300
BARNES JAMES		GRAND LAMPREY RIVER	90.00			50,800*	141,600
BARNES JAMES		GRAND LAMPREY DRIVE	26.77			11,700*	22,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	11.00			27,900*	38,900
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	23.30			13,600*	37,500
BARNES JAMES		BETWEEN 15 & TUTTLE ROAD	14.10			24,100*	38,200
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	36.55			58,800*	94,900
BARNES JAMES		OLD NOTTINGHAM ROAD	20.00			26,000*	46,000
BARNES JAMES		HENNET	3.00			33,800*	36,800
BARNES JAMES		RT 125	10.00			12,200	25,000
BARNES JAMES		ELL ROAD	3.91			11,500	26,500
BARNES JAMES		HENNETT ROAD	1.00			11,500	28,000
BARNES JAMES		GRANGER DRIVE	1.00			11,500	29,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	2.46			15,300	34,800
BARNES JAMES		CHERRY LANE	1.00			11,500	23,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 4	1.00			11,500	24,500
BARNES JAMES		NEWTON PLAINS ROAD	1.00			11,500	26,000
BARNES JAMES		KNOX TRL PK	1.00			11,500	27,500
BARNES JAMES		JAMES FARM	1.00			11,500	29,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 125	2.00			11,500	30,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 4	2.00			11,500	32,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 125	1.00			11,500	33,500
BARNES JAMES		GRANGER DRIVE	1.00			11,500	35,000
BARNES JAMES		LEE HOOK ROAD	1.00			11,500	36,500
BARNES JAMES		RD	1.00			11,500	38,000
BARNES JAMES		PINKH AM	1.00			11,500	39,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	41,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	42,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	44,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	45,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	47,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	48,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	50,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	51,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	53,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	54,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	56,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	57,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	59,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	60,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	62,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	63,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	65,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	66,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	68,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	69,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	71,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	72,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	74,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	75,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	77,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	78,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	80,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	81,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	83,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	84,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	86,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	87,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	89,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	90,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	92,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	93,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	95,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	96,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	98,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	99,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	101,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	102,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	104,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	105,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	107,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	108,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	110,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	111,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	113,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	114,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	116,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	117,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	119,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	120,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	122,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	123,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	125,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	126,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	128,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	129,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	131,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	132,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	134,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	135,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	137,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	138,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	140,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	141,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	143,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	144,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	146,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	147,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	149,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	150,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	152,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	153,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	155,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	156,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	158,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	159,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	161,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	162,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	164,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	165,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	167,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	168,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	170,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	171,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	173,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	174,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	176,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	177,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	179,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	180,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	182,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	183,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	185,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	186,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	188,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	189,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	191,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	192,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	194,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	195,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	197,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	198,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	200,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	201,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	203,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	204,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	206,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	207,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	209,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	210,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	212,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	213,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	215,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	216,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	218,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	219,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	221,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	222,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	224,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	225,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	227,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	228,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	230,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	231,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	233,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	234,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	236,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	237,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	239,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	240,500
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	242,000
BARNES JAMES		ROUTE 152	1.00			11,500	243,500
BARNES JAMES	</						

OWNER	LOCATION	AREA	LAND & CREDIT	VALUE	BUILDING VALUE	TOTAL VALUE
3LANEY ROBERT	PODGE ROAD	1.25		8,200	24,800	33,000
3GLASSING GROEGER L	JAMES FARMS	1.20		15,200	76,900	92,100
3BLICKLE ROBERT L & MARGARET	LITTLE HOOK ROAD	60.00		18,200*	69,000	87,200
3BLIDBERG RICHARD & HELEN	ALLEN AVE	1.00		13,600	69,000	82,600
3BLISS ETHELYN	WHEELWRIGHT POND	2.33		10,400	42,900	53,300
3BLOUGOURAS CHARLES	RT 125 ANTONIO'S RES	2.33		2,900	11,900	14,800
3BOLSVEN STEVEN	DEMERITT ROAD	2.33		2,900	35,300	38,200
3BOLLES DAVID & ALIJANDPA	RT 4	15.27		2,900*	38,900	41,800
3BOLLES CORSI THOMAS &	GARRITY ROAD	2.20		18,200	2,200	20,400
3BOOTH RICHARD E	PINKHAM RD	2.20		13,200	37,400	50,600
3BOOTH MARY A	MAST ROAD	1.00		1,200	40,400	41,600
3BOOTH MARY A	N/W SIDE TURTLE PON	1.00		9,200	61,200	70,400
3BOOTH RALPH D	WHEELWRIGHT POND	64.87		105,500*	47,800	153,300
3BOOTH RALPH JR	MAST ROAD RTE 155	62.44		11,500	50,200	61,700
3BOUCHER PHILIP	MAST ROAD RTE 155A	141.00		72,400*	188,100	260,500
3BOURGEOIS PHILLIP	WED HILL ROAD	59.00		84,100*	50,700	134,800
3BOURGONE BARRY	WAX LANE	14.15		128,800*		128,800
3BOWLES & OLIVIA	WHEELWRIGHT POND OF	1.00		23,100*		23,100
3BOYLES PAUL A & SUSAN S	ROUTE 152	1.38		9,700	9,500	19,200
3BOX KEVIN F	ROUTE 152	1.38		13,200	7,800	21,000
3BOY PIERRE D & ANNE F	FOGGS TRIP REST ES	10.00		17,500	48,700	66,200
3BOYD HELEN	KELSY ROAD	.00		100	4,400	4,500
3BRADBURY MARY & MONTELLE	LEE HOOK RD	12.00		19,200*		19,200
3BRADBURY MONTELLE	LEE HOOK ROAD	1.00		12,400	43,800	56,200
3BRADSHAW ARYTHUR &	FERNDALE LANE	.33		4,300	17,000	21,300
3BRADY CHARLES & VIRGINIA	TUTTLE RD	56.50		7,800*	73,100	80,900
3BRADY AMY BELY & BETTY A	ROUTE 152	71.00		20,500*	94,400	114,900
3BRANDON ANDREW & JUDY	RT 152	29.50		27,000*	25,100	52,100
3BRANDON ANDREW & JUDY	ROUTE 4	1.98		1,200	86,100	87,300
3BREWER LLOYD & CAROLYN	WEDNESDAY HILL RD LN	1.98		1,200	55,900	57,100
3BRICK LAYES AND NANCY L	LAMPREY RIVER	2.20		11,500	23,300	34,800
3BROCK BLANK ROBERT C	ROUTE 125	1.99		11,200	48,200	59,400
3BROMAGE IRENE M	ELM LAINS ROAD	3.30		1,200	33,400	34,600
3BROMAGE IRENE M	PAZERS FALLS ROAD	1.00		6,600	42,300	48,900
3BROTHER JOHN & BARBARA	LEE HOOK RD	.91		12,000	37,600	49,600
3BROWER BARBARA	PINE HOLL TRLPK	.00		1,200	7,900	9,100
3BROWN GEORGE	VERGREEN TERR	1.32		31,900*	5,100	37,000
3BROWN THOMAS A & JEAN	SNELL ROAD	1.32		31,900*		31,900
3BROWN WALLACE	ROUTE 152	2.00		15,000	46,500	61,500

2/10/86	[L115NH-NH710]	PROPERTY	LEE, NH	LAND	BUILDING	TOTAL
OWNER	LOCATION	AREA	VALUE	VALUE	VALUE	
* DENOTES SPECIAL LAND VALUE CREDIT						
BROWN WALTER & MARY	WHEELWRIGHT POND	.45	10,000	14,800	24,800	
BRUNS PATRICIA	ROUTE 152	6.90	17,000	11,200	28,200	
BRYSON PATRICIA	PINE KNOLL TRLR PARK	.00	100	11,200	11,300	
BUCHAN IVAN & DONNA	MAST ROAD RTE 155	4.03	20,500	44,500	65,000	
BUCHAN RONALD F ET UX	ROUTE 152	73.26	3,200*	35,500	38,700	
BUCK FLOD & FRANCES	LATPHEE LN	2.44	1,900	11,200	13,100	
BUESS WILLIAM	ROUTE 155	2.40	1,200	44,700	45,900	
BUEFUM ALBERT	RT 155 NORTHSIDE AP	1.10	1,200	77,800	79,000	
BUEFUM ALBERT	RT 155 WESTWAY APT	1.10	1,200	56,000	57,200	
BUEFUM ALBERT	RT 155 LEEWAY APT	1.84	1,200	57,200	58,400	
BUEFUM ALBERT	RT 155 SUNNYSIDE AP	1.40	1,200	77,200	78,400	
BUEFUM ALBERT	MAST RD & PACKERS F	1.68	1,200	27,200	28,400	
BULLARD VINFIELD & ANNIE	ROUTE 155	1.91	1,200	42,500	43,700	
BURBANK DONALD G & DOROTHY A	TUTTLE ROAD	1.07	1,200	50,100	51,300	
BURBEEB CLARK & BRENDA	SHEEP RD COR STEPP	6.73	18,000	38,100	56,100	
BURHAM DALE & BRENDA	DECATO DR	.91	1,200	12,800	14,000	
BURKE BRIAN & CHRISTINE	MAST ROAD RTE 155	1.14	1,200	45,400	46,600	
BURLEY HARRY B JR FAMILY TRUST	HOOK RD	14.90	32,100	53,800	85,900	
BUTRICK STEVEN & EINE	ROUTE 125	15.56	20,100*	22,500	42,600	
BUTRICK CATHERINE	BELLE LANE	2.00	18,400	43,400	61,800	
BYRNE DIANE	FOGG'S TRAILER REST	56.00	79,800*	3,100	82,900	
BYRON CELIA	CARTLAND HILL ROAD	.51	12,800	21,100	33,900	
LEE						
CALLAWELL DR MARTON	WHEELWRIGHT POND	61	11,100	24,300	35,400	
CALLAWELL CHARLES & JANET	ON LAMPREY RIVER	1.12	8,400	9,400	17,800	
CALLAWELL CHARLES	ROUTE 155	1.50	8,500	37,000	45,500	
CALLIOTAS ROBERT	LEE TRAFFIC CIRCLE	3.03	57,600	17,800	75,400	
CALLIOTAS ROBERT R & BARBARA	ROUTE 125	2.61	66,900	47,100	114,000	
CALLIOTAS ROBERT R & BARBARA	RT 125	28.08	36,200	47,100	83,300	
CALLIOTAS ROBERT R & BRENDA	ROUTE 125	21	35,400	20,000	55,400	
CAMPBELL JEFFREY & CYNTHIA	ROUTE 125	1.97	11,000	41,700	52,700	
CANAS ARLENE	PINE KNOLL TRL PK	.00	18,600	6,000	24,600	
CARMELL EDWIN & LEONA	TOON ROAD	2.21	13,200	74,900	88,100	
CARMICHAEL WAYNE & CAROL	GRANGER DRIVE	.91	13,700	72,100	85,800	
CARON JOSEPH & ELAINE	OLD NOTTINGHAM RD	1.30	5,000	47,800	52,800	
CARON JOSEPH JR	JENKINS LANE	2.20	3,900	16,700	20,600	
CARTER JOHN DWAYNE &	GRANGER DRIVE	1.50	1,800	58,000	59,800	
CASCAEDEN CELLEN & JUANITA	ROUTE 155	.00	8,700	9,800	18,500	
CASCAEDEN CELLEN & CHARLES	EVERGREEN TERR	.00	47,700*	32,600	80,300	
CATHART HIRSHLAND & PAULINE	MAST ROAD RTE 155	31.76	16,200*	42,000	58,200	
CATHART ROLAND H	MAST RD	10.63	16,600			
CATHART ROLAND H	OFF M MAST RD	2.63				

[illegible]

PROPERTY	OWNER	LOCATION	* DENOTES SPECIAL LAND VALUE CREDIT	AREA	LAND 3	VALUE	BUILDING VALUE	TOTAL VALUE
2710/36 L LITTSNH-NH710 J								
000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000								

2/10/86 [L115NH-NH710]
PROPERTY

HN 337

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

2/10/86	[L115NH-NH710]	PROPERTY	OWNER	LEE, NH	LOCATION	AREA	LAND &	BUILDING VALUE	TOTAL VALUE
					* DENOTES SPECIAL LAND VALUE CREDIT				
					ROUTE 4	16.23		349,600	420,400
		UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE			LEE HOOK ROAD	70.800		23,800	44,900
		UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE			WISWALL ROAD	1.17			28,100
		UNIVERSITY OF NH			GARBITT ROAD	1.23			30,800
		UNIVERSITY OF NH			LEE HOOK RD	209.00		65,300	297,600
		UNY ANN ET AL			WEST HOOK RTE 155	17.000		33,900	307,900
						4.30			
					STEPPINGSTONE RD	85.45			78,200
		VALENTINE RUSSELL				40.51			48,700*
		VALENTINE RUSSELL &			SHEP RD/STEPPINGSTO	1.90			19,400*
		VALENTINE RUSSELL L &			STEPPINGSTONE ROAD	1.88			20,900*
		VALENTINE RUSSELL L &			STEPPINGSTONE ROAD	21.50		50,100	35,100*
		VALLEE ARMAND & PATRICIA			MAST ROAD RTE 155	.76			33,800
		VALOSDOL DONOVAN H & SUSAN			ROUTE 4	.95		51,900	73,500
		VARNEY RALPH & BETTY			ROUTE 155	11.36		10,900	48,500
		VEDELER ROBERT &			RTE 155	.80		59,000	8,200*
		VERRETTE PAUL F & MARY F			DUREPO PARK	.00		8,000	8,000
					YORK LANE	129.09		2,500	17,500
								57,500	116,600*
					LAMPREY LN	2.72		54,900	74,300
		WACHOWIAK CHRISTOPHER & NANCY			GLENN HALL	1.40		13,600	12,700
		WALDER HAZEL			RTE 155	3.10		1,300	12,700
		WALKER GEORGE & SHIRLEY			RTE 155	.91		39,100	13,600
		WALKER GEORGE & SHIRLEY			ROUTE 155	2.70			19,900
		WALKER GEORGE F & SHIRLEY			NORTH PIVER RD	.00		42,900	16,300
		WALLS DANIEL & JUDITH			PINE KNOLL TRL PK	.00		10,900	50,600
		WALMSLEY STEPHEN & RHONDA			EVERGREEN TERR	2.56		15,300	15,300
		WALTERS SCOTT B				11.00		31,600	47,300
		WALTON PAUL			LEE HOOK ROAD	2.56			15,800
		WARD JOHN E			CATLAND DR	7.91		28,400*	8,400
		WARD JARGLE			GRANGER RD	2.97		18,300	72,500
		WARD ROBERT E			WATERS ROAD	1.08		54,200	62,300
		WATERS THOMAS			WATERS ROAD	.00		3,900	7,400
		WATERS THOMAS			PINCREST ESTATES	.00		1,400	1,400
		WATERS THOMAS			PINCREST ESTATES	.00		1,900	1,900
		WATERS THOMAS			PINCREST ESTATES	.00		1,600	1,600
		WATERS THOMAS			PINCREST ESTATES	.00		10,500	10,500
		WATERS THOMAS			PINCREST ESTATES	.00		8,600	8,600
		WATERS THOMAS			PINCREST ESTATES	.00		3,800	3,800
		WATERS THOMAS			PINCREST ESTATES	.00		15,200	15,200
		WATERS THOMAS & LOIS J			PINE	.00	100		

LEE TOWN CLERK
LEE TAX COLLECTOR
RFD - MAST RD
DURHAM, N.H. 03824

University Of New Hampshire
Diamond Library
Durham, N.H. 03824

back